


# 5 Moments for **HAND HYGIENE**



June 2017

Edited by: Kate Ryan, Sally Havers, Karen Olsen  
and Prof. M. Lindsay Grayson

Hand Hygiene Australia is fully funded by the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care.

This Program and manual have been developed by the National HHA Team.

This manual should be read in conjunction with the [Australian Guidelines for the Prevention and Control of Infection in Healthcare](#)

## Hand Hygiene Australia Team

Professor M. Lindsay Grayson  
Mrs Kate Ryan  
Ms Sally Havers  
Ms Karen Olsen

## HHA Coordinating Centre Contact Details:

Email: [hha@austin.org.au](mailto:hha@austin.org.au)

Phone: +61 3 9496 6707

Fax: +613 9496 6677

Website: [www.hha.org.au](http://www.hha.org.au)

© 2012, Commonwealth of Australia

The Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care encourages the not-for-profit reproduction of its documents – and those of the former Council – that are available on its website.

You may download, display, print and reproduce this material in unaltered form only (retaining this notice, and any headers and footers) for your personal, non-commercial use or use within your organisation. You may distribute any copies of downloaded material in unaltered, complete form only.  
All other rights are reserved.

# Foreword

Welcome to the 4<sup>th</sup> Edition of the HHA Manual. The release of this manual coincides with the ninth year of the National Hand Hygiene Initiative (NHHI). During these nine years, we have seen Australian health care facilities embrace the 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene, as significant increases in hand hygiene compliance rates have been demonstrated.

The NHHI received international recognition in 2011 when it was awarded the Centre of Hand Hygiene Excellence award by the World Health Organisation, one of only four centres of excellence worldwide. This award is a credit to all who have participated in the NHHI since its commencement in 2008.

The NHHI has moved into its sustainability phase. As further research is undertaken, and improvements in education, auditing and data management continue, this 4<sup>th</sup> Edition of the Manual reflects the most up to date information.

This manual outlines in a clear and systematic manner the HHA approach to hand hygiene culture change in Australia.

This manual does not aim to provide an in-depth analysis of infection control or be a textbook on infectious diseases. Instead, it provides a practical step-by-step guide to implementing and sustaining the hand hygiene culture-change in your hospital and how to participate in the NHHI. We hope that it helps your hospital to continue to improve the safety and quality of patient care.

**Kate Ryan & Prof. M. Lindsay Grayson**

Hand Hygiene Australia



# Contents

Foreword	1
Contents	2
Introduction	9
1.1 Hand hygiene	10
1.2 The effect of hand hygiene on Healthcare Associated Infection (HAI)	10
1.3 Historical perspective on hand hygiene	11
1.4 Transmission of pathogens by hands	12
1.5 Barriers to hand hygiene	12
1.6 Other barriers to hand hygiene	13
1.6.1 Jewellery and watches	13
1.6.2 Fingernails, nail polish and artificial nails	13
1.7 The National Hand Hygiene Initiative	14
1.7.1 Use of Alcohol-based handrub (ABHR)	14
1.7.2 Auditing Hand Hygiene Compliance (HHC)	14
1.7.3 Ensuring uniform hand hygiene education	15
1.7.4 Monitoring outcome measures	15
1.7.4.1 Outcome measure 1: Hand Hygiene Compliance	15
1.7.4.2 Outcome measure 2: Rates of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> bacteraemia	15
1.7.5 Ensuring culture change	15
1.8 Outcomes of the first 2 years of the Australian National Hand Hygiene Initiative (4)	16
1.9 Who should participate in the NHHI?	16
1.9.1 National Safety and Quality Health Service Standards and the National Hand Hygiene Initiative	17



<b>The 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene</b>	<b>18</b>
2.1 Aim	18
2.2 What are the 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene?	19
2.2.1 The levels of evidence to support the 5 Moments for hand hygiene (10)	19
2.2.2 Key terms within the 5 Moments for hand hygiene	19
2.3 The 5 Moments in Detail	21
2.4 Two patients within the same patient zone	25
 <b>Alcohol-based handrubs</b>	 <b>26</b>
3.1 Aim	26
3.2 Why use an alcohol-based handrub	27
3.2.1 Alcohol-based handrub is the product of choice	27
Figure 3.2 Effectiveness of different hand hygiene products	28
3.3 Product selection	28
3.4 Alcohol-based handrub performance testing	29
3.4.1 EN 1500 (European Committee for Standardisation)	29
3.4.2 ASTM E-1174 (ASTM International – used by USA and Canada)	29
3.4.3 Comparison of alcohol-based handrub test procedures	29
3.5 The activity of alcohol-based handrubs	29
3.5.1 Type of alcohol	29
3.5.2 Alcohol-only ABHR <i>versus</i> Alcohol-chlorhexidine ABHR	30
3.5.3 Alcohol concentration	30
3.5.4 Alcohol absorption	31
3.5.5 Solutions versus gels versus foams	31
3.5.6 Alcohol-based handrub volume and drying time	32
3.5.7 If hands are wet when alcohol-based handrub is applied	32
3.5.8 Alcohol-based handrub activity versus other hand hygiene antiseptic agents (5):	33
3.6 Alcohol-based handrub limitations	34
3.6.1 Bacterial spores	34
3.6.2 Non-enveloped (non-lipophilic) viruses	34
3.6.3 Other organisms	34
3.7 Repeated alcohol-based handrub use	34
3.8 Glove use	35
3.9 Alcohol-based handrub placement for improved hand hygiene compliance	36



3.10 Safe alcohol-based handrub placement	38
3.10.1 Placement recommendations	38
3.10.2 Clinical area placement considerations	39
3.11 Soap and water hand hygiene placement	39
3.12 Paediatric exposure to alcohol	40
3.13 Alcohol-based handrub and sterilisation departments	40
3.14 Staff preference	41
3.15 Hand care issues	41
3.15.1 WHO consensus recommendations on skin care (1)	44
3.16 Fire safety	45
3.17 Ingestion	45
3.18 Storage and safety	45
3.19 Cost	46
3.20 Detergent wipes for hand hygiene	46

## Hand Hygiene Promotion and Healthcare Worker Education 47

4.1 Aim	47
4.2 Education about hand hygiene and the patient	48
4.3 Education for all healthcare workers	49
4.3.1 Delivery of hand hygiene education	49
4.3.2 Hand hygiene education and assessment	50
4.3.3 Using hand hygiene compliance data to target education	50
4.3.4 Staff ownership	51
4.3.5 Hand hygiene program liaison officers	51
4.4 Education of medical staff	52
4.5 Education of student healthcare practitioners	52
4.6 HHA hand hygiene educational tools	53
4.7 Education of auditors	53
4.8 Promotion of hand hygiene	54
4.8.1 <i>Talking Walls</i> campaign	54
4.8.2 Other promotional activities	54



## How to Implement the National Hand Hygiene Initiative (NHHI) 55

5.1 Aim	55
5.2 Program implementation model	56
5.3 Forming a hand hygiene project team	57
5.3.1 Selecting a steering committee	57
5.3.2 Allocate roles and responsibilities for the steering committee	58
5.4 Development of policies and protocols	58
5.5 Selecting auditors	59
5.6 Hand hygiene auditor training	60
5.6.1 Auditor training requirements	60
5.6.1.1 Gold Standard Auditor	60
5.6.1.2 General Auditor	61
5.6.1.3 Successful completion requirements	61
5.6.2 Inter-rater and Intra-rater reliability and validation	61
5.6.3 Annual auditor validation	62
5.6.4 Lapsed auditor revalidation	62
5.7 Selection of departments for auditing	63
5.7.1 Department selection for hand hygiene compliance auditing	64

## Auditing Hand Hygiene Compliance 65

6.1 Aim	65
6.2 Auditing with the 5 Moments for hand hygiene tool	66
6.3 Rules for auditing the 5 Moments	67
6.4 One Action - Two Moments	68
6.5 When NOT to record a Moment	68
6.6 Overcoming bias in auditing	69
6.7 Preparation for collection of hand hygiene compliance data	69
6.7.1 Equipment required to conduct a hand hygiene audit	69
6.7.2 Healthcare worker (HCW) codes required for auditing	70
6.7.3 Adding personalised healthcare worker codes	70
6.8 Conducting a HHA hand hygiene compliance audit	71
6.8.1 Timing of audits	71
6.8.2 Time to complete a hand hygiene compliance audit	71
6.8.3 Preparation of the wards	71
6.8.4 Conducting a hand hygiene compliance audit	72





6.9 How to use the hand hygiene audit tool	73
6.9.1 Data collection via a mobile device	73
6.9.2 Paper based data collection – Using the HHA Audit Tool	74
6.10 Patient safety and privacy during hand hygiene audits	75
6.11 Hand hygiene and healthcare workflows	75
<b>Data Entry and Submission, Validation and Reporting</b>	<b>76</b>
7.1 Aim	76
7.2 Requirements for national data submission	77
National Audit Periods:	77
7.2.1 Acute hospital data submission	77
Table 7.2.1 Required Moments Acute Hospitals	77
Table 7.2.1.1 – Current Jurisdictional requirements for hospital < 25 acute inpatient beds	78
7.2.2 Day hospital data submission	78
Table 7.2.2.1 Day hospital size categories	78
Table 7.2.2.2 Required Moments Day Hospitals	78
7.2.3 Standalone/Satellite Dialysis/Oncology data submission	79
Table 7.2.3.1 Standalone/Satellite Dialysis/Oncology size categories	79
Table 7.2.3.2 Required Moments Standalone/Satellite Dialysis/Oncology Centres	79
7.2.4 Dental data submission	79
Table 7.2.4.1 Dental service description	79
Table 7.2.4.2 Required Moments Dental Services	80
7.3 Rationale for number of Moments to be collected	80
Chart 7.3.1 Confidence Intervals and Moments Audited	80
7.4 Data entry and management	81
7.4.1 Tips for accurate data collection and entry	81
7.4.2 At the conclusion of the ward visit:	81
7.4.3 Data entry	82
7.5 Data validation	82
7.5.1 Correct number of moments	82
7.5.1.1 For those with Organisation Administrator access	83
7.5.1.2 For those with Region or Organisation Group Administrator access	84
7.5.2 Compliance rate by individual auditor	85
7.5.3 Further data validation checks	85
7.5.3.1 Compliance Rate by Moment Report	85
7.5.3.2 Compliance Rate by Healthcare Worker	85
7.6 Data Submission	86
7.7 Reporting results	86
7.7.1 Standard Reports	87
7.7.2 Custom Reports	88





7.8 State / Territory reporting of hand hygiene compliance	88
7.9 National reporting of Hand Hygiene Compliance	88
7.10 National hand hygiene benchmark	89
<b>Sustaining a Hand Hygiene Program</b>	<b>90</b>
8.1 Aim	90
8.2 Key features of long-term sustainability include the following:	91
8.2.1 Hospital-wide rollout	91
8.2.2 Region / Jurisdiction level involvement	91
Figure 8.2.3 hand hygiene Culture-Change (52)	92
8.2.3 Hand hygiene culture-change and sustainability of the hand hygiene program	93
8.2.3.1 Increase the awareness and importance of hand hygiene	93
8.2.3.2 Provide education	93
8.2.3.3 Provide a supportive environment to encourage and promote alcohol-based handrub use and hand hygiene compliance	94
8.2.3.4 Using the hand hygiene evaluations for culture-change	94
8.3 WHO Self-Assessment Framework	95
8.3.1 Is your facility a hand hygiene leader?	95
<b>Hand Hygiene Outcome Measures:</b>	<b>96</b>
9.1 Aim	96
9.2 Definition of SAB	97
<b>Other Useful Interventions</b>	<b>98</b>
10.1 Aim	98
10.2 Additional audit tools to complement the hand hygiene program	99
10.3 Cleaning shared patient equipment	99
10.4 Bare below the elbows	100
10.5 Hand hygiene in shared patient areas	100



Glossary	101
References	108
Appendices	115
1. Hand hygiene compliance assessment form	115
2. Hand hygiene compliance Form Coding Sheet	115
3. Sample of a Completed hand hygiene compliance assessment form	115
4. HHCApp Instructions for Use	115
5. HHA OH&S Risk Assessment	115
6. Skin Care Questionnaire	115
7. Ward / Department Product Auditing Form	115
8. 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene Auditor Training	115
10. Hand hygiene Ward Summary Sheet	115



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

This manual should be regarded as part of the toolkit for implementing the National Hand Hygiene Initiative. It contains recommendations based on the [WHO Guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care](#) (1) and has been modified for the Australian setting. It is not designed to serve as a regulatory requirement, but to act purely as a guideline for the Australian healthcare sector to improve hand hygiene compliance (HHC) and ultimately reduce healthcare associated infections (HAI).

This manual does not address surgical hand hygiene. Alcohol-based handrubs (ABHRs) for surgical procedures are not addressed within the scope of the Hand Hygiene Australia (HHA) agenda. Please refer to the World Health Organisation (WHO) Guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care (1) for further information.

This manual addresses Hand Hygiene (HH) and the relevant Infection Control practices associated with hand hygiene. For further Infection Control Guidelines please refer to the Australian Guidelines for the Prevention and Control of Infection in Healthcare (2); for accreditation standards please refer to the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (the Commission) website, and for Infection Control Education please refer to the Commission website and the Australasian College for Infection Prevention and Control website.



## 1.1 Hand hygiene

Effective hand hygiene is the single most important strategy in preventing healthcare associated infections.

## 1.2 The effect of hand hygiene on Healthcare Associated Infection (HAI)

There is convincing evidence that improved hand hygiene can reduce infection rates. More than 20 hospital based studies (including systematic reviews) of the impact of hand hygiene on the risk of healthcare associated infection have been published between 1977 and 2011 (3, 4). Despite study limitations almost all reports showed an association between improved hand hygiene practices and reduced infection and cross transmission rates.

It is important to note that although the introduction of an alcohol-based handrub was a key factor to improvement in nearly all the studies, the available evidence highlights that the success of improved hand hygiene compliance and reduced healthcare associated infection results from the overall effect of the multimodal hand hygiene promotion strategies (1).

Many studies (1, 4-9) have demonstrated the clinical efficacy of a multimodal approach to improving hand hygiene that includes the introduction of alcohol-based handrub, with a marked and sustainable increase in hand hygiene compliance, and a significant reduction in healthcare associated infection.



### 1.3 Historical perspective on hand hygiene

Hand washing with soap and water has been used to improve personal hygiene for centuries; however the link between hand washing and the spread of disease was only established in the mid 1800's (5).

1800's - An Austrian doctor, Ignaz Semmelweis, is considered to be the first person who established that hospital acquired diseases were transmitted via the hands of healthcare workers (HCW).

1980's - First national hand hygiene Guidelines published in the USA

2000 - Didier Pittet et al (6) published a landmark study proving that a Hand Hygiene Culture Change Program involving introduction of alcohol-based handrub, education of staff and hand hygiene promotion can significantly improve hand hygiene compliance (HHC) of healthcare workers, and in turn reduce healthcare associated infections (HAI).

2002 - Alcohol-based handrub is defined as the gold standard of care for hand hygiene practices in healthcare settings, whereas hand washing is reserved for particular situations only (10)

2005 - WHO released the Advanced Draft of The WHO Guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care providing guidelines based on a the most extensive review of literature on hand hygiene in healthcare to date. In 2009 the finalised WHO Guidelines were released (1).

2008 - The Commission appointed Hand Hygiene Australia to implement the National Hand Hygiene Initiative following endorsement by all Australian health ministers.

2012 – The National Safety and Quality Health Service (NSQHS) Standards were released by The Commission. Standard 3 section 3.5 requires the development, implementation and auditing of a hand hygiene program consistent with the National Hand Hygiene Initiative (11).



## 1.4 Transmission of pathogens by hands

Transmission of healthcare associated organisms from one patient to another via HCWs' hands requires five sequential steps (1, 10):

- i. Organisms are present on the patient's skin, or have been shed onto inanimate objects immediately surrounding the patient
- ii. Organisms must be transferred on the hands of HCWs
- iii. Organisms must be capable of surviving for at least several minutes on HCWs' hands
- iv. Hand hygiene by the HCW must be inadequate or entirely omitted, or the agent used for hand hygiene inappropriate
- v. The contaminated hand or hands of the caregiver must come into direct contact with another patient or with an inanimate object that will come into direct contact with the patient.

HCWs must perform hand hygiene before and after every patient contact to prevent patients becoming colonised with healthcare associated organisms from other patients and the hospital environment. Emphasis must also be placed on preventing the transfer of organisms from a contaminated body site to a clean body site during patient care. Hand hygiene should also be performed after contact with inanimate objects, including medical charts and equipment in the immediate vicinity of the patient (10)

## 1.5 Barriers to hand hygiene

Poor hand hygiene practice among HCWs is strongly associated with healthcare associated infection transmission and is a major factor in the spread of antibiotic-resistant organisms within hospitals (10, 12). Despite this, efforts to improve the rate of hand hygiene compliance have generally been ineffective or their efficacy poorly sustained. Numerous barriers to appropriate hand hygiene have been reported (5, 13, 14) including:

- hand hygiene agents causing skin irritation and dryness
- The perception that patient needs take priority over hand hygiene
- Hand washing sinks/basins inconveniently located and/or not available
- The perception that glove use dispenses with the need for additional hand hygiene
- Insufficient time for hand hygiene, due to high workload and understaffing
- Inadequate knowledge of guidelines, protocols or technique for hand hygiene
- Lack of positive role models and social norms
- Lack of recognition of the risk of cross-transmission of microbial pathogens
- Until recently, lack of scientific information showing a definitive impact of improved hand hygiene on healthcare associated infection rates
- Simple forgetfulness.



## 1.6 Other barriers to hand hygiene

### 1.6.1 Jewellery and watches

The wearing of jewellery and watches should not inhibit the ability of the healthcare worker to perform correct hand hygiene. Several studies have shown that skin underneath rings is more heavily colonised than comparable areas of skin on fingers without rings (1). Wearing rings increases the carriage rate of gram negative bacteria and enterobacteriaceae on the hands of HCWs (15).

Hand hygiene policies and education should include a section on appropriate jewellery to be worn in the workplace. The consensus recommendation from WHO is to strongly discourage the wearing of finger and wrist jewellery during healthcare. The wearing of a simple flat band during routine care may be acceptable, but in high risk settings all rings or other jewellery should be removed (1).

### 1.6.2 Fingernails, nail polish and artificial nails

Numerous studies have documented that subungual areas (under the nail) of the hand harbour high concentrations of bacteria (1). Freshly applied nail polish does not increase the number of bacteria recovered from periungual skin, but chipped nail polish may support the growth of larger numbers of organisms on fingernails (1). Even after careful hand washing or surgical scrubs, HCWs often harbour substantial numbers of potential pathogens in the subungual spaces (1).

HCWs who wear artificial nails are more likely to harbour gram negative pathogens on their fingertips than are those who have natural nails, both before and after hand washing (2, 10). Whether the length of natural or artificial nails is a substantial risk factor is unknown, because the majority of bacterial growth occurs along the proximal 1 mm of the nail adjacent to the subungual skin (1, 10). Long, sharp fingernails, either natural or artificial, can puncture gloves easily. They may also limit a HCWs performance in hand hygiene practices (1), and tear or scratch a patient's skin.

Each healthcare facility should develop policies on the wearing of artificial fingernails or nail polish by HCWs.

The consensus recommendations from WHO are that HCWs **do not** wear artificial fingernails, extenders or nail polish when having direct contact with patients, and natural nails should be kept short ( $\leq 0.5\text{cm}$  long) (1).





## 1.7 The National Hand Hygiene Initiative

The Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (the Commission) instigated the National Hand Hygiene Initiative (NHHI) and assigned its delivery to Hand Hygiene Australia (HHA). The primary aim of the NHHI is to improve hand hygiene compliance among HCWs, and to reduce the transmission of infection in health services throughout Australia. This involves a multi-interventional culture-change program to improve hand hygiene compliance via the increased use of alcohol-based handrub.

The NHHI aims to improve knowledge about infection control among HCWs, especially regarding the importance of appropriate hand hygiene in reducing the risk of HAIs (6, 7, 16, 17). The NHHI is multi-faceted and includes the use of alcohol-based handrub, monitoring hand hygiene compliance, education regarding hand hygiene and alcohol-based handrub, and measuring infection rates. Whilst the educational message is applicable to all healthcare settings, monitoring compliance and infection rates is not.

**Key features of the NHHI include the following:**

### 1.7.1 Use of Alcohol-based handrub (ABHR)

Alcohol-based handrub should be placed at point-of-care including on the ends of patient beds, on trolleys and in clinical areas. Clear signage regarding appropriate use should be present. Ensuring alcohol-based handrub is readily available at the point-of-care can reduce many of the potential barriers to good hand hygiene.

Education should be provided clearly stating the advantages of alcohol-based handrub – namely that it takes approximately 15-20 seconds to decontaminate hands, is less irritating and drying than soap and water, and does not require the use of paper towels. (See Chapter 3 regarding specific alcohol-based handrub product selection).

### 1.7.2 Auditing Hand Hygiene Compliance (HHC)

Hand hygiene compliance auditing is conducted by auditors trained and validated by the standardised HHA program using the same auditing tools. This allows for data comparison between any Australian healthcare facilities.



### 1.7.3 Ensuring uniform hand hygiene education

To assist with improving healthcare workers' general knowledge about hand hygiene and infection prevention, HHA offer a range of online learning packages (OLPs) designed for specific healthcare professions: Medical, Surgical, Nursing, Allied Health, Non-clinical, Student Health practitioners, and Standard (for all others).

All OLPs are freely available via the HHA website (<http://www.hha.org.au/LearningPackage/olp-home.aspx>).

Or directly via this link: <https://hha.southrock.com>

Executive endorsement of the OLP as a compulsory requirement for all staff and students has proven successful in many institutions at improving hand hygiene compliance.

The program assists with education even in situations where there are high rates of staff turnover.

For education and training in areas specific to Infection Prevention please refer to the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care website:

<http://infectionprevention.e3learning.com.au>.

### 1.7.4 Monitoring outcome measures

#### 1.7.4.1 Outcome measure 1: Hand Hygiene Compliance

For national reporting of hand hygiene compliance to HHA, hand hygiene compliance should be measured at specified intervals during the program. The number of acute in-patient beds at each facility will dictate the number of areas required to be audited, and the number of observations to be undertaken once an initial pilot period has been completed (see Table 7.2).

The standardised hand hygiene compliance audit form or mobile data entry via <http://hhcapp.hha.org.au/mobile> on a mobile device should be used for all audits (see [Appendices 1, 2, 3, 4](#)).

Local auditing of hand hygiene compliance can be conducted anytime, according to the needs of each organisation, in addition to the national audits.

#### 1.7.4.2 Outcome measure 2: Rates of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia

In conjunction with the Commission, *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia (SAB) rates will be provided by jurisdictions consistent with agreed national definitions(18). These will be used to measure the effect of the NHHI.

### 1.7.5 Ensuring culture change

It is imperative that a hand hygiene program is not only about collection of hand hygiene audit data. To ensure culture change and improved hand hygiene behaviours of healthcare staff, a hand hygiene program must include appropriate access to hand hygiene facilities, training and education, promotion, auditing and feedback of results as a minimum. All components are equally important to achieve lasting changes. Healthcare organisations can track their progress and plan for improvement by using the WHO Hand Hygiene Self-Assessment Framework (see Section 8.3) (19)



## 1.8 Outcomes of the first 2 years of the Australian National Hand Hygiene Initiative (4)

After two years 521 hospitals around Australia were participating in the NHHI with a national hand hygiene compliance rate of 68.3%. However, hand hygiene compliance before patient contact was 10%–15% lower than after patient contact. Among sites new to the 5 Moments audit tool, hand hygiene compliance improved from 43.6% at baseline to 67.8% ( $P < 0.001$ ). Hand hygiene compliance was highest among nursing staff (73.6%) and lowest among medical staff (52.3%) after 2 years.

National incidence rates of methicillin-resistant SAB were stable for the 18 months before the NHHI (July 2007–2008;  $P = 0.366$ ), but declined after implementation (2009–2010;  $P = 0.008$ ). Annual national rates of hospital-onset SAB per 10 000 patient-days were 1.004 and 0.995 in 2009 and 2010, respectively, of which about 75% were due to methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus*.

The NHHI was associated with widespread sustained improvements in hand hygiene compliance among Australian healthcare workers. Although specific linking of SAB rate changes to the NHHI was not possible, further declines in national SAB rates are expected.

## 1.9 Who should participate in the NHHI?

The national hand hygiene initiative has been designed for ALL healthcare facilities. Product placement, staff education and program promotion are relevant in all healthcare settings whether an acute tertiary facility, or the local GP clinic. However, the actual hand hygiene compliance auditing has been designed specifically for acute healthcare facilities.

Currently HHA do not recommend routine hand hygiene compliance auditing as an outcome measure in the non-acute, primary care, or mental health setting. However, all facilities should be aware of their jurisdictional requirements when planning a hand hygiene program, which may include auditing in these areas. If auditing is required HHA recommend auditing in areas where one on one care is provided to a patient by a healthcare worker, and areas where procedures are conducted.

HHA recommend the use of other program evaluation tools within the non-acute, primary care, or mental health sector. These might include: staff hand hygiene knowledge surveys, hand hygiene technique audits, product placement/availability audits, and reports of OLP completion by staff. All are available on the HHA website under the heading of Additional Audit Tools

[www.hha.org.au/ForHealthcareWorkers/auditing.aspx](http://www.hha.org.au/ForHealthcareWorkers/auditing.aspx).

WHO have published the *Hand Hygiene in Outpatient and Home-based Care and Long-term Care Facilities: A Guide to the Application of the WHO Multimodal Hand Hygiene Improvement Strategy and the “My Five Moments for Hand Hygiene” Approach* (20). This document explains the evidence of how the 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene can be incorporated into the non-acute setting. It also gives detailed examples in non-acute settings of how to audit according to the 5 Moments for HH.

All facilities should be aware of their jurisdictional requirements when planning outcome/process measures of their hand hygiene program.



### 1.9.1 National Safety and Quality Health Service Standards and the National Hand Hygiene Initiative

The National Safety and Quality Health Service (NSQHS) Standards were developed by the Commission to drive the implementation of safety and quality systems and improve the quality of health care in Australia. The 10 NSQHS Standards provide a nationally consistent statement about the level of care consumers can expect from health service organisations (11)

Standard 3 – Preventing and controlling healthcare associated infections is particularly relevant, with key sections requiring healthcare facilities to ensure they have a hand hygiene program that is consistent with the National Hand Hygiene Initiative.

The NSQHS Standards and supporting workbooks and implementation guides have been written for health service organisations, dental practices, and mental health facilities. Please refer to the Commission website for further details:

<https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au>

Any facility specific questions regarding the NSQHS Standards and hand hygiene should be directed to the NSQHS Advice centre:

Email: [accreditation@safetyandquality.gov.au](mailto:accreditation@safetyandquality.gov.au)

Phone: 1800 304 056



## Chapter 2

# The 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene

### 2.1 Aim

To ensure all staff involved in the HHA 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene culture change program understand the concepts of the 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene.



## 2.2 What are the 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene?

The 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene are a theoretical model of how infectious agents can be transferred between a healthcare worker and patients. It is inclusive of all occasions where a patient's safety can be endangered by the care given by a healthcare worker; where opportunity exists for transfer of infectious agents between healthcare worker, patient and the healthcare environment.

- Moment 1:** Before touching a patient (1B)
- Moment 2:** Before a procedure (1B)
- Moment 3:** After a procedure or body fluid exposure risk (1A)
- Moment 4:** After touching a patient (1B)
- Moment 5:** After touching a patient's surroundings (1B)

### 2.2.1 The levels of evidence to support the 5 Moments for hand hygiene (10)

**1A** - Strongly recommended for implementation and strongly supported by well-designed experimental, clinical, or epidemiological studies

**1B** - Strongly recommended for implementation and supported by some experimental, clinical, or epidemiological studies and a strong theoretical rationale

### 2.2.2 Key terms within the 5 Moments for hand hygiene

#### Patient

Refers to any part of the patient, their clothes, or any medical device that is connected to the patient.

#### Procedure

Is an act of care for a patient where there is a risk of direct introduction of a pathogen into the patient's body.

#### Body Fluid Exposure Risk

Any situation where contact with body fluids may occur. Such contact may pose a contamination risk to either healthcare worker or the environment.

#### Patient Zone

Includes the patient and the patient's immediate surroundings.

The patient zone is the area dedicated to an individual patient for their care. The patient zone is cleaned after one patient leaves, before the next patient arrives.

Assumptions are generally made that within the patient zone the patient flora rapidly contaminates the entire patient zone; and the patient zone is cleaned between patients.

Within the patient zone there are 2 critical sites, the clean site (e.g. IV access point) that needs to be protected against microorganisms, and the body fluid site (e.g. IDC) that leads to the HCWs hands being exposed to body fluid.



### Healthcare Zone

Refers to all regions outside of the Patient zone. This includes the curtains, partitions and doors between separate patient areas.

The healthcare zone can include shared patient areas as these areas are not cleaned between patients.

Assumptions are generally made that within the healthcare zone there are organisms foreign and potentially harmful to all patients, and that transmission of these pathogens to the patient results in exogenous infection.

### Curtains

Patient bed curtains are outside the patient zone and are frequently contaminated with micro-organisms foreign to the patient inside (21-23)

Touching the curtains after caring for a patient is considered to be equivalent to leaving the patient zone

Hand hygiene should be performed between touching the curtains and touching the patient and vice versa.





## 2.3 The 5 Moments in Detail

### Moment 1 – Before Touching a Patient

#### WHY:

To protect the patient against acquiring potential pathogens from the hands of the healthcare worker.

#### WHEN:

#### EXAMPLES:

##### Before touching a patient in any way:

Shaking hands, Assisting a patient to move, Touching any medical device *connected* to the patient (e.g. IV pump, IDC), Allied health interventions

##### Before any personal care activities:

Bathing, Dressing, Brushing hair, Putting on personal aids such as glasses

##### Before any non-invasive observations:

Checking the patient's pulse rate, blood pressure, oxygen saturation, or temperature. Chest auscultation, Abdominal palpation, Applying ECG electrodes, Cardiotocography (CTG)

##### Before any non-invasive treatment:

Applying an oxygen mask or nasal cannulae, Fitting slings/braces, Application of incontinence aids (including condom drainage)

##### Before preparation and administration of oral medications:

Oral medications, Nebulised medications

##### Before oral care and feeding

Feeding a patient, Brushing teeth or dentures

#### TO PREVENT: Patient colonisation with healthcare microorganisms

HCWs may have any number of organisms on their hands. If there is no hand hygiene before touching a patient these microorganisms can be transferred to the patient.



## Moment 2 – Before a Procedure

### WHY:

To protect the patient from potential pathogens (including their own) from entering their body during a procedure.

### WHEN:

### EXAMPLES:

**Before insertion of a needle into a patient's skin, or into an invasive medical device:**

Venipuncture, Blood glucose level, Arterial blood gas, Subcutaneous or Intramuscular injections, IV flush

**Before preparation and administration of any medications given via an invasive medical device, or preparation of a sterile field:**

IV medication, NG Tube feeds, PEG feeds, Baby NG/gavage feeds, Set up of a Dressing trolley

**Before administration of medications where there is direct contact with mucous membranes:**

Eye drop instillation, Suppository insertion, Vaginal pessary insertion

**Before insertion of, or disruption to, the circuit of an invasive medical device:**

Procedures involving the following:  
Endotracheal tube, Tracheostomy, Nasopharyngeal airway devices, Suctioning of airways, Urinary catheter, Colostomy/ileostomy, Vascular access systems, Invasive monitoring devices, Wound drains, PEG tubes, NG tubes, Secretion aspiration

**Before any assessment, treatment and patient care where contact is made with non-intact skin or mucous membranes:**

Wound dressings, Burns dressings, Surgical procedures, Digital rectal examination, Invasive obstetric and gynaecological examinations and procedures, Digital assessment of newborn palate

### TO PREVENT: Endogenous and exogenous infections in patients

HCWs may have any number of organisms on their hands, or they may pick up microorganisms from the patients skin, if there is no hand hygiene immediately before a procedure these microorganisms may enter the patient's body.



### Moment 3 – After a Procedure or Body Fluid Exposure Risk

#### WHY:

To protect yourself and the healthcare surroundings from transmission of potential pathogens from the patient.

#### WHEN:

#### EXAMPLES:

After any Moment 2:

See Moment 2

#### After any potential body fluid exposure:

Contact with a used urinary bottle / bedpan,  
Contact with sputum either directly or indirectly via a cup or tissue, Contact with used specimen jars / pathology samples, Cleaning dentures, Cleaning spills of blood, urine, faeces or vomit from patient surroundings, After touching the outside of a drain tube or drainage bottle

Contact with any of the following:  
Blood, Saliva, Mucous, Semen, Tears, Wax, Breast milk, Colostrum Urine, Faeces, Vomitus, Pleural fluid, Cerebrospinal fluid, Ascites fluid, Lochia, Meconium, Pus, Bone Marrow, Bile, Organic body samples e.g. Biopsy samples, Cell samples

**TO PREVENT:** Colonisation/Infection in HCWs, contamination of the healthcare environment, and transmission of microorganisms from a colonised site to a clean site on patient X.

After touching a patient the healthcare worker has the patient's microorganisms on their hands; these microorganisms can be transmitted to the next patient/surface the healthcare worker touches.



### Moment 4 – After Touching a Patient

#### WHY:

To protect yourself and the healthcare surroundings from potential pathogens from the patient.

#### WHEN:

#### EXAMPLES:

**After any Moment 1 except where there has been a potential exposure to body fluids:**

See Moment 1 and 2

#### **TO PREVENT:** Colonisation/Infection in HCWs, and contamination of the healthcare environment

After touching a patient the healthcare worker has the patient's microorganisms on their hands; these microorganisms can be transmitted to the next patient/surface the healthcare worker touches.

### Moment 5 – After Touching a Patient's Surroundings

#### WHY:

To protect yourself and the healthcare surroundings from potential pathogens from the patient's surroundings.

#### WHEN:

#### EXAMPLES:

**After touching the patient's immediate surroundings when the patient has not been touched:**

Patient surroundings include: Bed, Bedrails, Linen, Table, Bedside chart, Bedside locker, Call bell/TV remote control, Light switches, Personal belongings (including books, Mobility aids), Chair, Foot stool, Monkey bar

#### **TO PREVENT:** Colonisation/Infection in HCWs, and contamination of the healthcare environment

After touching the patient's environment the healthcare worker has microorganisms on their hands; these microorganisms can be transmitted to the next patient/surface the healthcare worker touches.



## 2.4 Two patients within the same patient zone

Two or more patients may be in such close contact that they occupy the same physical space and touch each other frequently. For example, a mother and her newborn child, or twins occupying the same cot. The two close patients may be viewed as occupying a single patient zone. hand hygiene is still required when entering or leaving the common patient zone, and before and after procedures on the individual patients, but the indication for hand hygiene when moving between the two patients is little preventative value because they are likely to share the same microbial flora (1).



## Chapter 3

# Alcohol-based handrubs

### 3.1 Aim

To successfully implement and sustain a hand hygiene program a major factor is to ensure the choice of hand hygiene solution is acceptable to the users, and that all logistical issues in product installation have been addressed.

A well-planned and well-executed installation of hand hygiene products is an essential step in any program to enhance hand hygiene adherence (24).

Before deciding on the selection and placement of alcohol-based handrub for your facility, it may be useful to provide healthcare workers with the opportunity to evaluate these products.

To gain better compliance, the selection strategy requires input from a multi-disciplinary team (1).



## 3.2 Why use an alcohol-based handrub

Research (1, 10) has demonstrated that alcohol-based handrubs are better than traditional soap and water because they:

- Result in a significantly greater reduction in bacterial numbers than soap and water in many clinical situations (25) (see Figure 3.2 below)
- Require less time to use
- Cause less irritation to the skin
- Can be made readily accessible to HCWs
- Are more cost effective (26, 27).

Both soap and alcohol-based handrub products are necessary for the introduction of a hand hygiene program; a soap and water wash is required if hands are visibly soiled, and either product can be used if hands are visibly clean.

As wet hands can more readily acquire and spread microorganisms, the proper drying of hands is an integral part of routine hand hygiene (1). Single-use paper towels are the most effective way to dry hands and reduce the risk of the transmission of viruses. Evidence indicates that paper towels help minimise the spread of viruses including ones associated with various diseases, including those causing gastro-intestinal infections such as Norovirus and Rotavirus (28).

In a study published in 2016, Kimmitt (28) identified that jet air dryer produced over 60 times more viral plaques than a warm air dryer paper and over 1300 times more than paper towels.. Air dyers should not be placed in clinical or patient areas due to the possible risks associated with their use. Hand dryers may be considered in non-clinical areas, such as public toilets (29).

### 3.2.1 Alcohol-based handrub is the product of choice

Alcohol-based handrub is the gold standard of care for hand hygiene practice in healthcare settings, whereas hand washing is reserved for situations when the hands are visibly soiled, or when gloves have not been worn in the care of a patient with *C. difficile* (10).


Alcohol-based handrub is the hand hygiene product of choice for all standard aseptic technique procedures. Surgical scrub is required for surgical aseptic technique. For definitions on standard vs. surgical aseptic technique see Section 1.7.3 of the 2010 Australian Guidelines for the Prevention and Control of Infections in Healthcare (2).

Alcohol-based handrub is also the recommended product for the prevention of intravascular catheter related infections (30)





**Figure 3.2 Effectiveness of different hand hygiene products**



Original data from: Ayliffe GAJ et al. J Hosp Infection. 1988; 11:226

With the exception of non-medicated soaps, every new formulation for hand hygiene should be tested for its antimicrobial efficacy to demonstrate that:

- It has superior efficacy over normal soap; or
- It meets an agreed performance standard.

### 3.3 Product selection

**When selecting an alcohol-based handrub product, HHA recommends:**

1. The Product meets the EN1500 testing standard for bactericidal effect (see Section 3.4.1)
2. The Product has Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) approval as a hand hygiene product

However, product selection is **ultimately the choice of each healthcare facility**, and other factors should also be considered, such as:

- Dermal tolerance
- Practical considerations such as availability, convenience, functioning of dispenser, and ability to prevent contamination
- Aesthetic preferences such as fragrance, colour, texture and ease of use
- Cost issues.

Please note that the above information on product selection is a **recommendation** only. HHA do not promote specific products, nor do they mandate product selection. Product selection is ultimately the choice of each healthcare facility.

The following information is the current evidence available to assist healthcare facilities in choosing an appropriate alcohol-based handrub.



### 3.4 Alcohol-based handrub performance testing (in vivo laboratory based tests)

#### 3.4.1 EN 1500 (European Committee for Standardisation)

Testing requires 18 – 22 subjects, and a culture of *E. coli*. Subjects are randomly assigned to two groups where one uses the test handrub, and the other a standard reference solution (60% v/v isopropanol). The groups then reverse roles (cross over design). The mean acceptable reduction with a test formulation shall not be significantly inferior to that with the reference handrub (1).

#### 3.4.2 ASTM E-1174 (ASTM International – used by USA and Canada)

Testing requires two groups of 54 subjects. The indicator organism (*S. marcescens* or *E. coli*) is applied and rubbed over hands. The test handrub is then applied. The efficacy criteria are a 2-log<sub>10</sub> reduction of the indicator organism on each hand within 5 minutes after the first use, and a 3-log<sub>10</sub> reduction of the indicator organism on each hand within 5 minutes after the tenth use (1).

#### 3.4.3 Comparison of alcohol-based handrub test procedures

The performance criteria in the above tests are not the same; therefore a product could meet one criterion but not the other. The level of reduction in microbial counts needed to produce a meaningful drop in the hand-borne spread of HAIs remains unknown (1).

HHA recommends products tested using the EN 1500 criteria as this test more closely reflects the use of an alcohol-based handrub in a typical clinical situation. The efficacy criteria for the ASTM E-1174 are extremely low, with non-medicated soap and water being able to achieve a 3-log<sub>10</sub> reduction of the indicator organism within 1 minute. Furthermore, 5 minutes is too long to wait between patients after using an alcohol-based handrub (1).

### 3.5 The activity of alcohol-based handrubs

The activity of alcohol-based handrubs against bacteria, fungi and viruses is affected by a number of factors including:

#### 3.5.1 Type of alcohol

Isopropanol and ethanol both have in-vitro activity against bacteria, fungi and viruses. When tested at the same concentration, isopropanol is more efficacious than ethanol (1); however ethanol has greater activity against viruses than isopropanol (1, 31).



### 3.5.2 Alcohol-only ABHR *versus* Alcohol-chlorhexidine ABHR

Although alcohols are rapidly germicidal when applied to the skin, they have no appreciable persistent or residual activity. The addition of a low concentration of chlorhexidine to an alcohol-based handrub results in significantly greater residual activity than alcohol alone (1, 32) and therefore potentially improves efficacy. Notably, most published clinical studies that have demonstrated reductions in HAIs with the use of alcohol-based handrub, have been associated with the use of alcohol-based handrub that contains at least 70% alcohol (isopropanol), 0.5% chlorhexidine and a skin emollient (6, 7).

To date there has been one published clinical study showing that alcohol-only alcohol-based handrub is effective in reducing HAIs (indeed, it is one of the formulations recommended by WHO), however this study was conducted in a developing healthcare setting using a product that has higher concentrations of alcohol than what is currently available on the Australian market (33). Further clinical studies in this area are encouraged.

### 3.5.3 Alcohol concentration

There is a clear positive association between the extent of bacterial reduction and the concentration of alcohol contained in alcohol-based handrub products. Furthermore the concentration for maximum efficacy is different for isopropanol than ethanol. For example, alcohol-based handrub containing 60% isopropanol is associated with similar cutaneous bactericidal activity as alcohol-based handrub that contains 77% ethanol (32).

When comparing alcohol concentrations it is important to look at the unit of measure, not just the numerical value of the concentration. Alcohol concentrations can be reported in a number of ways:

- Volume / Volume (V/V)
- Weight / Weight (w/w)
- Weight / Volume (w/V)

Conversion tables are available for comparison between V/V and w/w for ethanol only (34). A sample of ethanol labelled with a concentration of 70% V/V is equivalent to an ethanol sample labelled as 62.39% w/w (34).

Significant differences in the efficacy of alcohol-based handrubs appear to be due to a product's overall concentration of alcohol (35) with higher concentrations being more effective.



### 3.5.4 Alcohol absorption

The selection of an alcohol-based handrub may be influenced by religious factors. According to some religions alcohol consumption is prohibited. Recent studies have demonstrated minimal rates of cutaneous alcohol absorption such that there should be no concern for HCWs (36, 37). An Australian study suggested that isopropanol might be less likely to be absorbed than ethanol. Thus, HCWs concerned about absorption for religious reasons may elect to use an alcohol-based handrub that contains isopropanol rather than ethanol (36). An awareness of commonly held religious and cultural beliefs is vital when introducing new concepts to today's multicultural healthcare community (38).

When implementing a hand hygiene campaign with an alcohol-based handrub in a healthcare setting where religious groups are represented, it is important to include focus groups on this topic to allow HCWs to raise concerns about the use of alcohol-based handrubs, help them to understand the evidence underlying this recommendation, and to identify possible solutions to overcome obstacles (1). The same process should be used when implementing alcohol-based handrubs into areas where there may be concerns about misuse of alcohol.

### 3.5.5 Solutions versus gels versus foams

Laboratory studies have found that alcohol-based handrub solutions are more effective than alcohol-based handrub gels that contain an equivalent concentration of alcohol (39). Historically gels contain approximately 10% less effective alcohol than a similar solution. For example, an alcohol-based handrub gel containing 60% alcohol has similar effective alcohol activity as a 50% alcohol-based handrub solution (5). Technically it has proven difficult to develop alcohol-based handrub gels that contain  $\geq 70\%$  alcohol without the gel becoming less viscous and more solution-like. Thus the first generations of gel formulations have reduced antimicrobial efficacy compared with solutions (1).

There is some evidence to suggest gels are preferred to solutions, and have a trend towards improved compliance (1). Evidence suggests that the efficacy of alcohol based gels may depend mainly on concentration and type of alcohol in the formulation, rather than on product consistency (40).

Alcohol-based handrub foams are also available, but to date are used less frequently. There is currently minimal clinical evidence available for the use of alcohol based foams. Further clinical tests are encouraged.

HHA recommendations for product selection are outlined in Section 3.3; it does not matter if the product chosen is a solution, gel or foam.



### 3.5.6 Alcohol-based handrub volume and drying time

The volume of hand rub dispensed is important. One ml of alcohol has been shown to be substantially less effective than 3 ml (25). The effective volume of alcohol-based handrub (2-3 ml; 1-2 squirts from most alcohol-based handrub dispensers) generally takes 15-20 seconds to dry on hands – hence alcohol-based handrub drying time is a convenient indicator that sufficient alcohol-based handrub has been applied. It is important to follow the recommendations of the manufacturer which are usually found on the alcohol-based handrub bottle.

In clinical practice often smaller volumes are used than what is recommended in the testing of alcohol-based handrubs. Unless high concentration products are used there is no significant reduction in contaminants with small volumes of alcohol-based handrub (35).

It is essential that the team in charge of implementing the alcohol-based handrub educate their staff about the correct use of the product. Specific education is required to ensure the correct dose is administered: it is important to use a two handed action to operate the dispenser, and to recognise that the number of squirts required for the alcohol-based handrub to be effective may differ between products, or the size of the healthcare worker's hands. Alcohol-based handrub should never be applied to gloves or to inanimate objects as a cleaning agent.

### 3.5.7 If hands are wet when alcohol-based handrub is applied

The antimicrobial efficacy of alcohol is very sensitive to dilution with water and is therefore vulnerable to inactivation, especially if only small volumes of alcohol-based handrub are applied. For instance, if 60% isopropanol were rubbed onto wet hands in two portions of 3 ml (each for 1 minute), the mean log bacterial reduction achieved is 3.7, as compared to 4.3 with dry hands (32). Thus, it is recommended that alcohol-based handrub be applied to dry hands.



### 3.5.8 Alcohol-based handrub activity versus other hand hygiene antiseptic agents (5):

Table 5. Antimicrobial spectrum and characteristics of hand-hygiene antiseptic agents							
Group	Gram-positive bacteria	Gram-negative bacteria	Mycobacteria	Fungi	Viruses	Speed of action	Comments
Alcohols	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	Fast	Optimum concentration 60-90%; non-persistent activity
Chlorhexidine (2% and 4% aqueous)	+++	++	+	+	+++	Intermediate	Persistent activity; rare allergic reactions
Iodine Compounds	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	Intermediate	Causes skin burns; usually too irritating for hand hygiene
Iodophors	+++	+++	+	++	++	Intermediate	Less irritating than iodine; acceptance varies
Phenol Derivatives	+++	+	+	+	+	Intermediate	Activity neutralised by non-ionic surfactants
Triclosan	+++	++	+	–	+++	Intermediate	Acceptability on hands varies
Quarternary ammonium compounds	+	++	–	–	+	Slow	Used only in combination with alcohols; ecologic concerns

## 3.6 Alcohol-based handrub limitations

### 3.6.1 Bacterial spores

Alcohol has virtually no activity against bacterial spores. Washing hands with soap and water is preferred in this situation because it is the best method of physically removing spores from the hands (1). However, the vegetative form of *Clostridium difficile* is highly sensitive to alcohol-based handrub.

The 2010 and updated 2016 ASID / AICA position statement on Infection Control Guidelines for Patients with *Clostridium difficile* Infection (CDI) in Healthcare Settings (41, 42) recommends the primary use of alcohol-based handrub in accordance with the WHO 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene when caring for patients with CDI. Gloves should be used during the care of patients with CDI, to minimise spore contamination, and if hands become soiled, or gloves have not been used, then hands must be washed with soap and water.

### 3.6.2 Non-enveloped (non-lipophilic) viruses

Alcohol has poor activity against some non-enveloped viruses e.g. rotavirus, norovirus, polio, Hepatitis A. However, there is conflicting evidence suggesting that alcohol-based handrub is more effective than soaps in reducing virus titres on finger pads (1, 43, 44). Thus, in norovirus outbreaks it is usually best to reinforce the use of alcohol-based handrub, unless hands are visibly soiled – then soap and water hand hygiene is preferred.

### 3.6.3 Other organisms

Alcohol has a poor activity against tropical parasites, and protozoan oocysts. Hand washing is preferred.

## 3.7 Repeated alcohol-based handrub use

There is no maximum number of times that alcohol-based handrub can be used before hands need to be washed with soap and water (45).





### 3.8 Glove use

Inappropriate glove use often undermines efforts to sustain correct hand hygiene according to the 5 Moments.

Gloves do not provide complete protection against hand contamination. Pathogens may gain access to the HCWs hands via small defects in gloves or by contamination of the hands during glove removal. Bacterial flora colonising patients may be recovered from the hands of approximately 30% of HCWs who wear gloves during patient contact (10, 46, 47).

Gloves can protect both patients and HCWs from exposure to infectious agents that may be carried on hands (48). As part of standard precautions single use gloves must be worn for (2):

- Contact with sterile sites and non-intact skin or mucous membranes
- Any activity that has been assessed as carrying a risk of exposure to blood, body substances, secretions and excretions.

The recommendation to wear gloves during an entire episode of care for a patient who requires contact precautions, without considering indications for their removal, such as for hand hygiene, could lead to the transmission of microorganisms. Hayden and colleagues found that HCWs seldom enter patient rooms without touching the environment, and that 52% of HCWs whose hands were free of VRE upon entering rooms contaminated their hands or gloves with VRE after touching the environment without touching the patient (49).

Hand hygiene products and gloves should be made available inside isolation/contact precaution rooms to allow for appropriate hand hygiene to occur during the care of a patient.

#### **When should gloves be changed?**

- Between episodes of care for different patients, to prevent disease transmission (50, 51)
- During the care of each patient, to prevent cross-contamination between body sites (10)
- If the patient interaction involves touching portable computer keyboards or other mobile equipment that is transported from room to room (51)

Sterile gloves must be used for surgical aseptic procedures and contact with sterile sites (2). Single use gloves should always be discarded.



**Hand hygiene is required with glove use at the following times:**

- Before putting on gloves and immediately after removing gloves
- Gloves should be removed to perform hand hygiene during the care for a single patient as indicated by the 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene
- Hand hygiene products should not be applied to gloves

Prolonged and indiscriminate use of gloves should be avoided as it may cause adverse reactions and skin sensitivity (50).

For more information on gloves refer to the Australian Guidelines for the Prevention and Control of Infection in Healthcare(2).

### **3.9 Alcohol-based handrub placement for improved hand hygiene compliance**

Critical to the success of the program is having alcohol-based handrub readily available to HCWs in their work area and near the patient, at the point of care (1). Dispensers act as a visual cue for hand hygiene behaviour, and their strategic and ubiquitous placement makes the product highly accessible for frequent use (52). Placement of alcohol-based handrub needs to be consistent and reliable. Clinical staff should assist with the decision-making process, as they generally best understand the workflow in their area. Although this may be time consuming the benefit of behavioural adherence will be marked.

Where possible, alcohol-based handrub should be placed at the foot of every bed, or within each patient cubicle. An article by Traore (2007) concluded that “availability of a handrub at the point of care increased hand hygiene compliance independently of the type of product used, time of day, professional category and other confounders” (53).

The placement of alcohol-based handrub can have a significant effect on the hand hygiene compliance of HCWs. In a study by Birnbach et al (54), medical staff had a hand hygiene compliance rate of 54% when the alcohol-based handrub was in their line of sight on entering a patient’s room, compared to 11.5% when they couldn’t see the alcohol-based handrub dispenser. When designing new healthcare facilities, consideration should be given to appropriate placement of alcohol-based handrubs.

The placement of dispensers next to sinks is strongly discouraged as this can cause confusion for some HCWs who may think they need to rinse their hands with water after using alcohol-based handrub.



**The following alcohol-based handrub placement locations are suggested:**

- On the end of every patient bed (fixed or removable brackets)
- Affixed to mobile work trolleys (e.g. intravenous, medication and dressing trolleys)
- High staff traffic areas (e.g. nurse's station, pan room, medication room and patient room entrance)
- Other multi-use patient-care areas, such as examination rooms and outpatient consultation rooms
- Entrances to each ward, outpatient clinic or Department
- Public areas – e.g. waiting rooms, receptions areas, hospital foyers, near elevator doors in high traffic areas.

A clear decision needs to be made about whose responsibility it will be to replace empty alcohol-based handrub bottles. Workplace agreements or job descriptions may need to be changed to accommodate prompt replacement of these bottles (7). Never pour alcohol-based handrub from one bottle into another as this may lead to contamination of the bottle and its contents, and will mix different production batches. Most alcohol-based handrub approved for use within Australian healthcare facilities are registered as a pharmaceutical product, with a batch number to enable tracking of the product should it be required.



### 3.10 Safe alcohol-based handrub placement

There are a number of risks to patients and staff associated with the use of alcohol-based handrub; however the benefits in terms of its use far outweigh the risks. A risk assessment should be undertaken and a management plan put in place. This particularly applies to clinical areas managing patients with alcohol use disorders, and patients at risk of self-harm (see [Appendix 5](#)).

#### 3.10.1 Placement recommendations

- The maximum size of an individual alcohol-based handrub dispenser should not exceed 500mls (55, 56)
- No more than 80 individual alcohol-based handrub dispensers (each with a maximum capacity of 500ml) should be installed within a single smoke compartment
- Corridors should have at least 1.8m wide with at least 150cm between each alcohol-based handrub dispenser (55-57)
- Dispensers should not project more than 15cm into corridor egress (55, 56, 58)
- Wall mounted brackets should be located at a height of between 92cms and 122 cm above the floor (avoid placing at eye level) (58, 59)
- Dispensers should not be located over carpeted areas, unless the area is protected by active sprinklers (57)
- Dispensers should not be located over, or directly adjacent to ignition sources (e.g. electrical switches, power points, call buttons, or monitoring equipment) (55, 57, 58)
- alcohol-based handrub dispensers should be separated from heat sources and electric motors (55, 58)
- Dispensers should be installed according to manufacturer's recommendations and to minimise leaks or spills (57)
- Regular maintenance of dispensers and brackets should occur in accordance with manufacturer's guidelines (57)
- Product usage signs should be clearly visible and laminated
- Regular monitoring of each area is recommended for misuse, or removal of product
- Each facility should take adequate care regarding the placement of each dispenser so as to protect vulnerable populations, for example in psychiatric units, drug and alcohol units, paediatric units and units caring for cognitively impaired patients (55)
- Alcohol-based handrub bottles should be designed so as to minimise evaporation due to the volatile nature of alcohols
- Site-specific instructions should be developed to manage adverse events, such as alcohol-based handrub ingestion, eye splashes or allergic reactions



### 3.10.2 Clinical area placement considerations

Special consideration is necessary when locating alcohol-based handrub in clinical areas where ingestion or accidental splashing of alcohol-based handrub is a particular risk (accidental ingestion of alcohol-based handrub has been reported, but is uncommon (60)).

#### Such areas include:

- Paediatrics – alcohol-based handrub should be located with care near children (See Section 3.11)
- Mental Health/Dementia Units – alcohol-based handrub should be located with care near mentally ill patients, patients undergoing alcohol- or drug-withdrawal, or where there are cognitively impaired patients
- Public areas - alcohol-based handrub needs placement in high traffic areas with clear signage regarding appropriate use and the need for parents to carefully supervise their children
- Bracket design is important since alcohol-based handrub placement may be affected if alcohol-based handrub brackets are ill-fitting (e.g. varying sizes of bed rails can affect the efficacy of some alcohol-based handrub brackets). Consider brackets that are removable, or product that can be removed from brackets easily in case short term patient demands warrant it. Also take into account bracket availability and installation costs, since these expenses can be substantial.

Small personal bottles that HCWs carry with them may be more appropriate in some of the above areas.

## 3.11 Soap and water hand hygiene placement

The design of healthcare facilities can influence the transmission of healthcare associated infections. Easy access to hand hygiene products can assist in promotion of their use.

The Australasian Health Facility Guidelines (29) have been written to “help disseminate current industry knowledge regarding good health facility design and accepted clinical practice”. These guidelines contain practical information and resources regarding health facility infrastructure, with specific guidance on hand hygiene, hand basin types and uses, and hand hygiene schedule and placement in Part D.



### 3.12 Paediatric exposure to alcohol

Alcohol-based handrub can be placed in paediatric wards/facilities. The placement of alcohol-based handrub within NICU, SCN, maternity wards, and on cots should follow the HHA recommendations of product placement at point of care.

The placement within general paediatric wards should remain within the point of care, except where a child may have an intellectual disability or cognitive impairment or where the child could unintentionally or intentionally harm themselves. Personal bottles of alcohol-based handrub could be used in any area where alcohol-based handrub cannot be placed at the point of care.

Recent research has shown increasing use of alcohol-based handrubs in the home and community settings, which have corresponded with an increase in the number of calls to poisons centres regarding children misusing the products. However, Miller et al in 2009 report that alcohol-based handrubs appear relatively safe when misused by children under six years of age as the exposure invariably occurred as a brief 'taste' or accidental ocular or dermal exposure, resulting in little or no toxicity (61). This is supported by anecdotal evidence from Australian Poisons Centres, and recent publication from an American Poison's centre (62).

Further research has shown that use of an alcohol-based handrub by children in day care centres is safe. Even though children put their hands in their mouth or in contact with other mucous membranes directly after alcohol-based handrub use, there was nil measurable alcohol detected by breathalyser in any of the children tested (63).

### 3.13 Alcohol-based handrub and sterilisation departments

AS/NZS 4187:2014 is the Australian standard for sterilisation departments. Section 5.6.12 Hand Hygiene states that there should be sufficient hand hygiene facilities available and accessible in all work areas. The hand hygiene products for use can be **either** alcohol-based hand rubs or liquid soaps. Hand creams shall not be used when performing reprocessing activities.



### 3.14 Staff preference

The level of healthcare worker acceptance of alcohol-based handrubs is a crucial factor in the success of any hand hygiene program. The following features can influence alcohol-based handrub acceptability (1):

- Product availability. Product should be readily available at the point of care (e.g. bedside) and in all patient-care areas
- The emollient agent(s) in the alcohol-based handrub should prevent skin drying and irritant skin reactions, but not leave a sticky residue on hands
- Risk of skin irritation and dryness. Proactive and sympathetic management of this problem is vital (see Section 3.14)
- Drying characteristics. In general, alcohol-based handrub solutions have lower viscosity than gels and therefore tend to dry quicker
- Fragrance and colour - these may increase the initial appeal but may cause allergic reactions, and are therefore discouraged
- There is some evidence to suggest that gels are preferred to solutions (53), however it is important for staff to evaluate products themselves prior to implementation where possible.

### 3.15 Hand care issues

Intact skin is a first line defence mechanism against infection. Damaged skin can not only lead to infection in the host, but can also harbour higher numbers of microorganisms than intact skin and hence increase the risk of transmission to others (64, 65). Damaged skin on HCWs is an important issue and needs to be seriously addressed.

The vast majority of skin problems among HCWs that are related to hand hygiene are due to “irritant contact dermatitis” (66). Irritant contact dermatitis is primarily due to frequent and repeated use of hand hygiene products - especially soaps, other detergents, and paper towel use, which result in skin drying. The initial use of alcohol-based handrub among such HCWs often results in a stinging sensation. However, recent studies have suggested that the ongoing use of emollient-containing alcohol-based handrub leads to improvement in irritant contact dermatitis in approximately 70% of affected HCWs (67, 68). Also, the use of an oil-containing lotion or a barrier cream three times a shift can substantially protect the hands of vulnerable healthcare workers against drying and chemical irritation, preventing skin breakdown (69)

It is important to ensure that the selected alcohol-based handrub, soaps, and moisturising lotions are chemically compatible to minimise skin reactions among staff (24).





The following information has been created and provided by The Occupational Dermatology Research & Education Centre, Skin & Cancer Foundation Inc.:

Occupational contact dermatitis is an inflammatory skin condition which occurs when workplace substances damage the skin. Usually the hands of healthcare workers are affected, although other exposed skin may be involved, such as the arms, face and neck.

There are 3 main types of contact dermatitis: irritant contact dermatitis (ICD), allergic contact dermatitis (ACD) and contact urticaria.

**Irritant contact dermatitis (ICD)** is the most common form of dermatitis experienced by healthcare workers. It often starts with dryness in the web spaces between the fingers.

Common causes of ICD affecting healthcare workers include:

- Repeated exposure to water, including hand washing and scrubbing
- Skin cleaners, antiseptic washes, detergents, liquid and bar soaps
- Drying of the skin using paper towels
- Heat from hot water
- Sweating, especially when wearing occlusive gloves for extended periods of time
- Glove powder
- Low humidity: hands often get drier in winter

Once ICD has developed, the penetration of allergens (substances that cause allergy) through the damaged skin barrier is facilitated. Similarly, the damaged skin barrier is more prone to transmit infection, so it is important to both prevent ICD and treat it early. The use of alcohol hand rubs reduces the exposure of the skin to irritants when compared to traditional hand washing (incorporating warm water, use of skin cleansers and paper towels), and can reduce the likelihood of developing ICD.

**Allergic contact dermatitis (ACD)** is a delayed type of allergy that causes dermatitis on areas of the skin exposed to allergens. Allergy is very individual; one person may be allergic to a substance that another person can use without problems.

ACD can occur at any time, after someone has been using the same product for many years or for just a few weeks. Dermatitis generally develops some hours or even 1-2 days after contact with the allergen, but does not occur the very first time an individual is exposed to the substance. People may not have had a history of allergies before and in fact are probably less likely to be 'allergic' types. The clinical features of ACD cannot be reliably differentiated from ICD.

ACD will often complicate pre-existing ICD, when the skin barrier has become damaged. Once an allergy to a substance has developed, it is generally life-long.





**Special note:** The preservative methylisothiazolinone (MI) is currently causing very high rates of ACD. All healthcare workers with contact dermatitis should check the ingredients of their own products and avoid it where possible. Methylisothiazolinone may be found in some liquid soaps, shampoos, sunscreens, hair products, moisturisers and disposable wipes, particularly baby wipes.

**Contact urticaria** is a different type of allergic skin reaction, occurring immediately rather than being delayed. Allergy to latex, or natural rubber protein, is a form of contact urticaria and healthcare workers are exposed to latex in many brands of disposable gloves but also in other medical products.

Powdered latex gloves also increase the risk for latex allergy as the powder facilitates the transfer of the latex allergen to the skin and also aerolises it, so latex proteins that have attached to the powder can be inhaled, or enter the skin via cracks and splits in the skin.

**Factors that may contribute to dermatitis include:**

- Fragrances and preservatives. Commonly the cause of contact allergies; these should be kept to a minimum or eliminated when selecting an alcohol-based handrub
- Washing hands regularly with soap and water immediately before or after using an alcohol-based handrub is not only unnecessary, but may lead to dermatitis (70, 71)
- Donning gloves while hands are still wet from either hand washing or applying alcohol-based handrub increase the risk of skin irritation (1)
- Using hot water for hand washing
- Failure to use supplementary moisturisers
- Quality of paper towels.

The management of hand care problems associated with the use of hand hygiene products requires early recognition and a systematic approach to ensure success.

**Strategies for minimising occupational hand dermatitis include:**

- Use of a hand hygiene product that contains skin emollient to minimise the risk of skin irritation and drying. Several studies have demonstrated that such products are tolerated better by HCWs and are associated with better skin condition when compared to plain or antimicrobial soap (1, 27)
- Use the hand hygiene and hand care products supplied by the healthcare facility. The suite of products should be compatible, and less likely to cause irritation due to chemical interaction
- Educating staff on the correct use of hand hygiene products (1, 2, 67)
- Educating staff on caring for their hands, including the regular use of skin moisturisers both at work and at home - such moisturising skin-care products need to be compatible with alcohol-based handrub
- Providing a supportive attitude towards staff with skin problems.



Alcohol-based handrub produces the lowest incidence of irritant contact dermatitis of all the hand hygiene products currently available (1, 70, 72). True allergy to alcohol-based handrub is rare and allergy to alcohol alone has not been reported to date (70).

Although some reports have suggested that irritant contact dermatitis can occur in up to 30% HCWs (71); the incidence of this problem among a recent study of Victorian HCWs was extremely low (0.47%), representing one cutaneous adverse event per 72 years of healthcare worker exposure (67). Minimisation of irritant contact dermatitis is essential for improved hand hygiene compliance.

HCWs should notify the hand hygiene representative if skin irritation occurs following the use of alcohol-based handrub. All complaints should be taken seriously and a review process instigated.

All healthcare facilities should have access to referral for follow up that may include: an Occupational Dermatologist, local Doctor, or emergency department for HCWs with persistent skin problems. See [Appendix 6](#) for an example of a skin care questionnaire for healthcare workers; alternatively go to <http://hha.org.au/ForHealthcareWorkers/manual.aspx> for the generic skin care assessment form. For the WHO consensus recommendations on skin care see below.

### 3.15.1 WHO consensus recommendations on skin care (1)

- Include information regarding hand care practices designed to reduce the risk of irritant contact dermatitis and other skin damage in education programmes for HCWs (IB)
- Provide alternative hand hygiene products for HCWs with confirmed allergies or adverse reactions to standard products used in the healthcare setting (II)
- Provide HCWs with hand lotions or creams to minimise the occurrence of irritant contact dermatitis associated with hand antisepsis or hand washing (IA)
- When alcohol based handrub is available in the healthcare facility for hygienic hand antisepsis, the use of antimicrobial soap is not recommended (II)
- Soap and alcohol based handrub should not be used concomitantly (II).

For levels of evidence on consensus recommendations please see WHO Guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care (1) Table 1.2.2



### 3.16 Fire safety

A number of studies have confirmed the safety of alcohol-based handrub (73, 74). Despite many years of use, there have been no documented fires directly related to the presence of alcohol-based handrub in hospital wards in Australia, and only one documented in the USA. To further reduce the risk of fire following the application of alcohol-based handrub, hands should be rubbed together until dry and all alcohol is evaporated (1) (See [Appendix 5](#)).

### 3.17 Ingestion

Accidental and intentional ingestion of alcohol based products used for hand hygiene have been reported (1, 75). Alcohol toxicity can occur after ingestion, but the effects depend on the amount ingested, and the age/size of the person ingesting it.

Symptoms and signs of alcohol intoxication include: dizziness, lack of coordination, hypoglycaemia, abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and haematemesis. Signs of severe toxicity include respiratory depression, hypotension and coma.

With careful consideration of alcohol-based handrub product placement, and securing product in fixed or lockable brackets in high risk areas (i.e. mental health, alcohol detoxification units), the risk of this potential problem can be minimised.

As with any intervention, the availability and use of alcohol-based handrub, while being associated with major benefits in terms of reduced risk of acquiring HAIs, may also occasionally be associated with some small risks. Thus, a carefully considered Risk Management strategy should be employed for the safe use of these products (see [Appendix 5](#)).

### 3.18 Storage and safety

Ensure a material safety data sheet (MSDS) for alcohol-based handrub is available in areas where product is stored (check with local OH&S regulations).

All alcohol-based handrub products are flammable with flash-points ranging from 21°C to 24°C, depending on the type and concentration of alcohol present.

They should be stored away from high temperatures or flames (9).

When considering the requirements for minor storage, the total quantities of all flammable liquids must be considered. Minor storage of all flammable liquids is not to exceed 10 litres per 50m<sup>2</sup> of floor space (AS 1940-2004, Section 2, Table 2.1).

For further product safety information contact your product supplier or local fire service.



### 3.19 Cost

The promotion of hand hygiene is highly cost effective, and the introduction of a waterless system for hand hygiene is a cost-effective measure (1). While the purchase price of alcohol-based handrub is an important factor in product selection, it is far less important than the acceptability of the alcohol-based handrub to HCWs. There is little point having a cheap alcohol-based handrub available that has poor healthcare worker acceptance and is therefore rarely used, resulting in poor rates of hand hygiene compliance. The key driver for alcohol-based handrub selection should not be simple purchase cost (27). However, a study in the dental setting has reported that use of alcohol-based handrub is more cost effective than antimicrobial soap (26), and the expenditure on alcohol-based handrub products when compared with excess hospital costs associated with healthcare associated infection can easily be justified (27).

Cost is an important consideration on set-up, and the ongoing funding source within the health service needs to be clearly identified for the sustainable success of the program.

### 3.20 Detergent wipes for hand hygiene

Detergent wipes or alcohol wipes should not be used for hand hygiene as they are no more effective than washing hands with soap and water (1). Detergent impregnated wipes are the recommended cleaning product for shared patient equipment. They should be used to wipe over equipment between patients, for example the BP cuff (2).



## Chapter 4

# Hand Hygiene Promotion and Healthcare Worker Education

### 4.1 Aim

To develop and maintain an ongoing education program to initiate and sustain hand hygiene behaviour change. All HCWs and support staff should be included in educational activities.

Education is critical to the success of the culture change program and careful planning is essential.

To achieve a high rate of hand hygiene compliance, HCWs need education, clear guidelines, some understanding of modes of disease transmission, and acceptable hand hygiene products (1).



## 4.2 Education about hand hygiene and the patient

Patients who develop HAIs can potentially have a lengthy recovery process, further operations, delayed return to work, and suffer emotional and financial burdens.

Patients receiving care in the healthcare environment expect clean hands on the people caring for them, however most would feel uncomfortable asking a healthcare worker if they had clean hands, or to clean them before beginning their care (76). Hand hygiene should be performed in front of your patient so that they know you have clean hands prior to their care.

Although HAIs cannot be entirely eliminated, there are strategies which have been proven to significantly reduce their occurrence (1). The Commission Patient Charter stipulates that all Australians have the right to "receive safe and high quality health services provided with professional care, skill and competence" (2). Hand hygiene is one such effective strategy in the prevention of HAIs.

Hand hygiene is the single most important strategy to reduce HAIs and applies to everyone - staff, patients and their visitors.

For videos of the impact of healthcare associated infection on the patient please refer to <http://hha.org.au/home/student-health-practitioners.aspx>

- **Glen's Story**  
Produced by the Victorian Infection Control Professionals Association (VICPA)
- **The Patient Experience**  
Produced by the Victorian Quality Council (VQC)



## 4.3 Education for all healthcare workers

Healthcare worker education is a key component of any multi-modal intervention strategy. Basic education sessions for all HCWs should include the following (77):

- Definition, impact and burden of HAIs
- Common pathways for disease transmission, specifically the role of hands
- Prevention of HAIs and the role of hand hygiene
- 5 Moments of Hand Hygiene – with key messages
  - When to perform hand hygiene
  - How to perform hand hygiene, using alcohol-based handrub or soap and water
  - Use of alcohol-based handrubs
  - Use at point of care
  - Use of clinical scenarios to teach the 5 Moments will improve understanding and uptake in clinical work.

### 4.3.1 Delivery of hand hygiene education

There can be a high turnover of staff in healthcare facilities. Therefore as well as introductory education sessions, a program with regular updates should be planned. These could take the form of specific orientation programs, in-service lectures or special workshops. Where possible, hand hygiene Coordinators should work with education departments in their facility to identify the most appropriate methods specific to the audience and facility.

On a day to day basis in healthcare facilities, many opportunities arise for informal education. These opportunities may include:

- Medical and Nursing rounds
- Nurse Unit Manager/clinical unit meetings
- Ward "walkabouts"
- Increased presence on the ward by the hand hygiene Program Coordinator and Infection Control staff
- Program staff acting as a resource for all staff
- Working one-on-one with staff to improve hand hygiene practices
- Corridor/tearoom conversation
- Prompt feedback of hand hygiene compliance results, including rewards/incentives for good results
- Individual healthcare worker performance feedback is encouraged during the audit cycle. This will promote individual behaviour change. If individual feedback is given it is important to stop auditing that individual for that session.





High profile promotional activities are also recommended to raise awareness of hand hygiene. For example, these can be planned to coincide with World Hand Hygiene Day 5<sup>th</sup> May each year, or Infection Control Awareness week during October each year.

#### 4.3.2 Hand hygiene education and assessment

Hand hygiene education and assessment can play a key role in sustaining good hand hygiene practice and maintaining the NHHI. The implementation of education and assessment will vary between healthcare facilities. An online hand hygiene learning package has been shown to be effective in supporting this process (78).

The HHA online learning packages (OLPs) (<http://www.hha.org.au/LearningPackage/olp-home.aspx>) include a series of educational slides and questions, and provide immediate feedback after each section is answered - users can only move to the next section after they have selected the correct answers. A user is considered “educated in basic hand hygiene theory” on completion of a package.

All Australian healthcare facilities can become registered users of the HHA OLP by contacting the HHA office on [hhalearning@austin.org.au](mailto:hhalearning@austin.org.au). Registering provides the ability to report on numbers of staff who have completed the education package.

The hand hygiene education and assessment package should be readily available to all staff by means of the internet or a link on the hospital intranet. Alternatively, the education and assessment could be undertaken during the hospital orientation program for new staff:

Ideally new employees should complete a hand hygiene education and assessment package on commencement of employment, or as soon as possible after. This condition could be written into employment contracts, and also made a requirement for all student HCWs prior to commencement of clinical placements.

The OLP could become a mandatory component of the annual performance appraisal of all HCWs.

#### 4.3.3 Using hand hygiene compliance data to target education

Hand hygiene compliance data can be utilised as an educational tool for all HCWs. The HHA hand hygiene compliance reports (see Section 7.6 on report generation) give individual facilities the ability to develop targeted education aimed at specific healthcare worker groups or departments. The data reports on the hand hygiene performance of a number of healthcare worker groups, and will assist with identifying priority areas for education.

Hand hygiene compliance rates are both a useful outcome measure for the hand hygiene program, and a valuable educational tool for HCWs. Reporting local hand hygiene audit results to HCWs is an essential element of a multi-modal strategy. Timely feedback and discussion assists in engaging HCWs in effective cultural-change and in developing locally relevant improvement initiatives.

The overall ward reports should be given to the managers of the wards, with subsequent reporting to all ward staff followed by further training as indicated from the audits.





The overall facility reports should be presented to the healthcare management at regular intervals, and should become a standard agenda item for hospital Executive and quality and safety meetings.

#### 4.3.4 Staff ownership

**Staff ownership of the program should be encouraged and supported through:**

- Regular and timely feedback to ward staff of HHC rates – national, state and hospital rates, but specifically their own ward data
- Recognition of each ward/department's achievements
- Enthusiastic ward/department staff should be appointed as hand hygiene "liaison officers" or "ward champions" to take responsibility for hand hygiene promotion in the ward/department
- Ensuring each ward/department nominates a staff member to be accountable for the hand hygiene portfolio (see Section 4.3.5)
- The use of education tools and displays
- Provision of audit tools to ward staff to assess product availability ([Appendix 7](#))
- Staff completion of the HHA OLP. HHA recommend that all employees complete the appropriate package on employment and on an annual basis
- Ward-based promotional activities

#### 4.3.5 Hand hygiene program liaison officers

The appointment of ward/department-based hand hygiene liaison officers or champions is helpful in linking the ward and the hand hygiene program and assist with the NHHI.

**This role involves:**

- Acting as role models for all staff
- Motivating staff
- Facilitating involvement and ownership of the project by HCWs in each ward
- Presenting outcome data to staff
- Monitoring product placement and availability by conducting audits
- Assisting with promotional activities in their ward
- Assisting HCWs in their ward to complete the online learning package
- Educating new staff in hand hygiene, including ward/department orientation to hand hygiene product placement, correct usage and storage
- (Optional) HHC auditing as long as the hand hygiene Liaison person has been trained as an auditor and is able to be released from their normal duties to conduct audits.



## 4.4 Education of medical staff

Some of the strategies suggested above may not be appropriate for medical staff. Numerous published studies suggest that medical staff repeatedly under-perform in hand hygiene compliance and can be difficult to reach with education to generate behaviour change (1). Results from the Australian NHHI demonstrate that medical staff have lower hand hygiene compliance than most other HCWs (4).

Hand hygiene Medical Champions should become involved and encourage medical staff to act as role models for all others. Although a multi-modal approach is likely to be most effective, one-on-one discussions with key/high profile medical officers are especially valuable, particularly for senior medical staff.

### Successful programs should:

- Identify those willing to be role models
- Discuss any potential challenges to implementation with medical staff
- Identify medical opinion leaders, “Clinical Champions” and “Department/Unit Heads ”
- Regular attendance by Infection Control staff at medical ward rounds, enables informal hand hygiene education to senior and junior medical staff during these rounds
- As with all HCWs, medical staff should be regularly assessed for their rates of HHC and be provided with rapid feedback of results
- Regular scientific presentations at Surgical and Medical meetings, including Grand Rounds are especially important
- Target interns and RMOs during formal education sessions and orientations that are a required component of all medical training programs
- Encourage all medical staff to complete an OLP annually.

HHA have two OLPs tailored specifically for medical and surgical staff which can be found at <http://www.hha.org.au/LearningPackage/olp-home.aspx>

## 4.5 Education of student healthcare practitioners

Performing hand hygiene in a healthcare setting is a learned behaviour. To achieve a genuine hand hygiene Culture Change it is imperative that healthcare student education becomes a high priority. HHA has designed a student health practitioner hand hygiene online education package. This package consists of:

- A hand hygiene OLP module giving evidence based education on all aspects of hand hygiene in healthcare
- Links to extended scope hand hygiene information
- A hand hygiene program implementation checklist for teaching facilities.

HHA aim to make hand hygiene education part of the core educational content of all health related courses. It is important to include students and their mentors in all your hand hygiene education sessions in all healthcare settings.



## 4.6 HHA hand hygiene educational tools

HHA has an array of tools available to assist educational sessions as outlined above:

- Visit [www.hha.org.au](http://www.hha.org.au) to access all of HHAs free to download resources for healthcare, and community
- Hand hygiene Online Learning Packages (OLPs)
- 10 min educational video on “The 5 Moments Explained”

<http://www.hha.org.au/UserFiles/file/WorkshopResources/5MomentsForHH.pptx>

- Video demonstration of each of the 5 Moments individually:

<http://www.hha.org.au/home/5-moments-for-hand-hygiene.aspx>

Or as a continuous video “The 5 Moments in Action”:

[www.hha.org.au/ForHealthcareWorkers/education.aspx](http://www.hha.org.au/ForHealthcareWorkers/education.aspx)

- Generic slide presentations:
  - Targeting specific groups of HCWs on hand hygiene
  - 5 Moments

[www.hha.org.au/ForHealthcareWorkers/education.aspx](http://www.hha.org.au/ForHealthcareWorkers/education.aspx) .

## 4.7 Education of auditors

The education sessions suggested above will not be adequate to equip staff to audit compliance with the 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene. This requires specific training, and may not be suitable for some groups of HCWs (e.g. non clinical staff).

Auditor training can only be provided by HHA or a coordinator who has passed the required assessments at a HHA Gold Standard Auditor training workshop. Refer to Section 5.6 for details on auditor training.



## 4.8 Promotion of hand hygiene

Promotion of hand hygiene in each hospital can be undertaken in many ways. The following include a few popular suggestions:

### 4.8.1 *Talking Walls* campaign

A popular method to assist with staff ownership is the Geneva *Talking Walls* model (6). The principle of *Talking Walls* is to use art and humour to reinforce the principles of infection prevention through improved hand hygiene among staff. Staff from each ward can be invited to help design a poster featuring their own hand hygiene message. The resulting posters can then be placed throughout the hospital acknowledging the ward's creativity. This promotes program ownership and reinforces the NHHI by directly involving local HCWs.

### 4.8.2 Other promotional activities

Many promotional activities can be conducted for little or no cost to the hospital.

- Awards for the best performing ward / HCW category
  - Measure and Graph HHC for each ward/department or HCW category around the organisation and award prizes for the best performance, or most improved
  - If you have a network of hospitals together the award could be at a hospital level
- Program Awareness via:
  - Internal magazines/newsletters
  - Pay slip notices
  - Screen savers
- Rewarding individual HHC
  - During HHC observation sessions, awarding staff observed to be highly compliant with hand hygiene with praise/stickers/chocolates
- Competitions
  - Quizzes, crosswords, word search
  - "Slogan" competitions
- Involve local community
  - Encourage schools/kindergartens to promote hand hygiene
  - Patient involvement in the hand hygiene program



## Chapter 5

# How to Implement the National Hand Hygiene Initiative (NHHI)

### 5.1 Aim

To form a multidisciplinary team to lead the implementation of the NHHI at each healthcare facility.



## 5.2 Program implementation model

Once your facility has identified the need to participate in the NHHI and the HHC auditing program, HHA recommend following these steps for program implementation:

- Choosing a steering committee, including a hand hygiene coordinator and Medical Champion who, along with the Infection Control team and/or the Safety and Quality team, will be the core team responsible for the project
- The coordinator should have an understanding of hand hygiene and infection control issues and ideally a broader experience in quality and safety; he/she should be able to access high level management staff within the facility (79)
- The hand hygiene coordinator should attend a HHA Gold Standard Auditor training workshop (bookings via the website <http://www.hha.org.au/ForHealthcareWorkers/workshops/workshop-online-booking.aspx>)
- After successful completion of auditor training HHA will set up the new organisation in the HHA hand hygiene compliance Application database (HHCApp) to enable hand hygiene compliance data collection
- Choose auditing staff (see Section 5.5) who have time available to assist in the auditing process and are able to attend auditor training
- Conduct a baseline hand hygiene compliance audit in a pilot ward (see Section 5.7 on department selection)
- Introduce an alcohol-based handrub or evaluate a current product on selected pilot wards.
- Place hand hygiene product in the pilot department as per product placement information (see Section 3.9 – 3.11)
- Educate all staff on the pilot wards on the 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene (see Chapter 2)
- Audit the pilot department and evaluate the impact of the program by comparing pre and post implementation hand hygiene compliance audit data
- Expand the hand hygiene education and product placement to the departments chosen for NHHI hand hygiene compliance data submission
- Expand the hand hygiene education and product placement to the whole of the healthcare facility
- Monitor the key outcome measures of HHC and SAB
- Use the hand hygiene compliance data to guide the hand hygiene program improvement cycle (see Chapter 8)
- Use the WHO Self-Assessment Tool for Program Evaluation (see Section 8.3)



## 5.3 Forming a hand hygiene project team

The Hospital Executive should demonstrate commitment and support for the hand hygiene program (6) through interest, participation and regular reporting on the hand hygiene program at Executive meetings, and to the Hospital Board.

### 5.3.1 Selecting a steering committee

Identifying key members of a health service is a critical element for engaging clinical and non-clinical staff in the project, and for supporting the core hand hygiene Program Team.

It is important that an Executive sponsor is identified and that they are a part of the steering committee. Staff from the Departments of Infection Control, Infectious Diseases, Microbiology and Pharmacy (where available) should have an active role in the program implementation throughout the organisation, and should be the key drivers of the Steering Committee.

The following list identifies some potential members for this committee:

Project Officer/Program Coordinator	Microbiology laboratory representative	Clinical education representative
Executive member/sponsor	Medical and/or surgical representative	Patient representative/consumer
Medical Champion	Quality Improvement representative	Supply/Stores Department
Infection Control Consultant(s)	Human resources	Allied Health
Pharmacist	OH&S representative	Environmental Services representative
Infectious Diseases Physician(s)	HH program representative from each pilot ward (ward champion)	Public relations/corporate development representative





### 5.3.2 Allocate roles and responsibilities for the steering committee

#### Areas for consideration:

- Line of reporting for committee members
- Staff and patient education
- Hand hygiene program marketing
- Data collection – both hand hygiene compliance and SAB
- hand hygiene product selection, including alcohol-based handrub
- Hand hygiene product placement
  - A well organised and executed plan for installation of hand hygiene products is an essential step in any program to enhance hand hygiene adherence in healthcare settings (24)
- Implementation of policies and procedures
  - Hand hygiene Guidelines
  - Participation in hand hygiene education
  - OH&S management of alcohol-based handrub ([Appendix 5](#)).

## 5.4 Development of policies and protocols

To embed the change in hand hygiene practices into the culture of each healthcare institution a number of policies need to be developed:

- Hand Hygiene Policy recommending the use of alcohol-based handrub by all HCWs
- Education of HCWs with formal assessment of knowledge about hand hygiene. Support for this by hospital executive can greatly assist with its implementation
- Clear documented guidelines about wearing jewellery and acrylic/false nails in clinical areas due to increased risk of microbial colonisation (80)
- Guidelines for management of HCWs with dermatitis potentially associated with hand hygiene product use (see [Appendix 6](#))
- Clear guidelines on placement of alcohol-based handrub in healthcare facilities (see Section 3.9 – 3.10)
- Occupational Health and Safety policy on storage of alcohol-based handrub (as per alcohol-based handrub MSDS from company supplying product)
- Occupational Health and Safety Risk Assessment for Product Placement (see [Appendix 5](#))
- Protocols for management of accidental ingestion, or splash injury from alcohol-based hand rub.
- Education and evaluation of hand hygiene auditors on knowledge of hand hygiene compliance assessment (see Section 5.6), including yearly requirements for re-validation





- Identify key staff figures to ensure alcohol-based handrub containers are replaced when empty, and brackets are installed appropriately and replaced when broken or missing.
- Cleaning shared equipment recommendations (see Section 10.3)

Alcohol-based handrub products at the point of care will improve hand hygiene compliance, but multidisciplinary strategies are required to implement and monitor hand hygiene recommendations in the long term (81).

For other infection prevention guidelines please refer to the Australian Guidelines for the Prevention and Control of Infection in Healthcare (2).

For information on a bare below the elbows policy please see section 10.4.

## 5.5 Selecting auditors

Careful thought and planning needs to be put into choosing the most suitable people to conduct the hand hygiene compliance audits. The appropriate people will vary between facilities.

The number of auditors needed to collect the required amount of hand hygiene compliance data for submission to HHA will vary depending on healthcare facility size (see Table 7.2).

### Points to consider when selecting auditors include:


- **Have time available to conduct audits**
- Have a background as a clinical health professional
- Availability to attend HHA Auditor training
- Have a good understanding of auditing/feedback/education processes
- Acknowledge and understand safety and privacy concerns of patients and staff
- Have the ability to provide immediate feedback to staff for good hand hygiene practices, and educate on correct hand hygiene practice
- Auditors from a variety of health professions could promote widespread acceptance/ownership/participation in activities to improve hand hygiene within their area.



## 5.6 Hand hygiene auditor training

There are two types of training proposed by the HHA team: 'Gold standard' auditor and general auditor training.

To ensure consistency of the auditing program and to ensure validation of auditors, participants trained by HHA become the "Gold standard" auditors.



	Taught by	Can provide hand hygiene Education	Can conduct audits	Can train new general auditors
<b>Gold Standard</b>	HHA	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>General Auditor</b>	Gold Standard	Yes	Yes	<b>No</b>

### 5.6.1 Auditor training requirements

#### 5.6.1.1 Gold Standard Auditor

To become a Gold standard auditor, participation is required in a workshop run by either HHA, or a specific jurisdictional coordinator.

Bookings can be made via the HHA website

(<http://www.hha.org.au/ForHealthcareWorkers/workshops/workshop-online-booking.aspx>), or by contacting your jurisdictional coordinator.

GSA workshop content is standardised across the country.

Once qualified as a Gold Standard Auditor, attendees are given login access to the "Workshop Resources" page on the HHA website, which allows access to all teaching materials and marking guides required to conduct "auditor" workshops in their own facilities. If you are a qualified GSA and do not have a login please contact HHA via <http://www.hha.org.au/ContactUs.aspx>



### 5.6.1.2 General Auditor

The mandatory content of the general auditor training program is identical to parts of the gold standard auditor training, as all auditors need to collect data in a standardised manner to ensure validity of data.

To achieve general auditor status participants must:

- Complete the Pre-workshop online learning module on the HHA learning management system (<https://hha.southrock.com>),
- Attend and pass a workshop conducted by a HHA recognised GSA.  
There is a minimum of 5 hours content that must be presented.

See [Appendix 8](#) - How to Train Auditors for detailed instructions.

### 5.6.1.3 Successful completion requirements

All workshop attendees must pass a written and DVD quiz. The pass mark is  $\geq 90\%$ . Attendees must also show competence in hand hygiene compliance auditing in the practical session.

HHA follow a standardised procedure for non-successful participants to gain “auditor” qualifications (see [Appendix 9](#), or contact HHA for further details).

## 5.6.2 Inter-rater and Intra-rater reliability and validation

Inter-rater reliability should be addressed in the auditor training programs by pairing hand hygiene auditors for observations of the same session and then comparing observations recorded, using the HHA trained and validated person as the “gold standard”.

Each hand hygiene auditor should be paired with each of the other validated auditors (if more than 2 observers). Until there is  $>90\%$  inter-rater agreement in all recordings (e.g. type of HCW, HCW activity, hand hygiene Moment, hand hygiene performance), the official data collection process should not begin.

Intra-rater reliability should be addressed through use of the HHA 5 Moments training DVD. This DVD should be observed on at least two occasions, with data recorded on the appropriate DVD Quiz form or mobile device. The rate of agreement for all recordings is then calculated. If there is less than 90% agreement, hand hygiene observers should seek further training.

If regular auditing is not done practice sessions are recommended prior to each data collection period to ensure reliable results. Careful attention is required to ensure that observations are recorded correctly and there is consistent reporting, not only by the individual auditors (intra-rater reliability) but also between the various auditors (inter-rater reliability). The hand hygiene team should discuss issues as they arise and reach a united approach.



### 5.6.3 Annual auditor validation

It has been recognised that there is potential for skill fade over time if 5 Moments auditing is not regularly conducted.

To maintain the auditor skill, all auditors are required to undertake an annual validation process. This validation is standard for both auditor classifications and requires the following:

- The annual collection of a minimum of 100 moments
- The annual completion of the auditor validation online learning package (OLP) via the HHA learning management system: <https://hha.southrock.com>

### 5.6.4 Lapsed auditor revalidation

If a period of 12 months or more has elapsed between auditing periods for any auditor then prior to submitting data they are required to:

- Contact their facility GSA/hand hygiene program manager. If you are the only auditor in your facility, contact your HHA jurisdictional co-ordinator
- Undertake a HHA training DVD quiz
- Forward completed Quiz to their hand hygiene program manager or HHA jurisdictional co-ordinator
- Undertake auditing in the clinical setting alongside a current auditor
- Complete the Annual Auditor Validation OLP



## 5.7 Selection of departments for auditing

HHA recommend the initial selection of one department to start the pilot implementation of the program. It is important to choose a department where motivation and interest are high, and the health gain is likely to be substantial, thus impacting on the roll out to subsequent areas.

By piloting the program on one department, any initial problems with product placement or supply, staff motivation and education can be addressed prior to rolling out the program to the rest of the hospital.

Several factors need to be considered when determining which departments should be audited. As hand hygiene is the single most important element of strategies to prevent healthcare associated infection, departments known to have greater potential for high infection rates should be targeted. Improvements in hand hygiene compliance rates in these areas will have the greatest impact on the prevention of infection and provide a safer environment for patients. Generally, these departments also have the greatest staff/patient activity and interaction, which results in higher numbers of 'Moments' being audited in shorter time periods.

Auditing departments where there is little staff/patient activity and interaction (i.e. non-acute settings) will result in a small number of moments being observed and resources required to undertake auditing may be better utilised measuring other aspects of a hand hygiene program e.g. product placement, education etc.

The selection of departments should be made in conjunction with the appropriate committee at the hospital (e.g. Infection Control Committee, Hand Hygiene Committee, Quality Improvement Committee) and with Executive approval.

Once a hand hygiene program has been established and hand hygiene compliance is audited regularly, HHA encourage hospitals to ensure that **all** wards/departments participate in the program throughout the year. Auditing and reporting results to each ward/department encourages ownership of the program by the whole hospital.

### Please note:

The following guidelines for department selection for auditing within a hospital were updated as of 1st July 2017. The new single option process was approved by the National Hand Hygiene Initiative advisory committee in May 2017.

All hospitals currently submitting data to HHA should plan a transitioning process from the [previous guidelines](#) to the current guidelines below. The transition can begin at any time, but should be planned to begin by Audit 1 2018.



### 5.7.1 Department selection for hand hygiene compliance auditing

All eligible departments should be audited a ***minimum*** of once per year (ideally each National Audit Period).

At least 100-200 moments should be collected per each high risk area each year.

#### Eligible departments:

Eligible areas provide acute care. For the purposes of the National Hand Hygiene Initiative, they are further stratified into 'high risk' and 'standard risk':

#### High risk eligible departments

Critical care, neonatal care, oncology/haematology, transplantation, renal

High risk may also include departments with known or suspected high rates of healthcare infections, high prevalence of patients with multi-resistant organisms, crowded accommodation, and previous low hand hygiene compliance

#### Standard eligible departments

Surgical, medical, mixed, maternity, paediatrics, acute aged care, perioperative, emergency departments, radiology, sub-acute

#### Other departments that can be included:

The following departments ***within an acute organisation*** could be included in National audits (based on a risk assessment):

Ambulatory care, dental, mental health, palliative care, and long term care

#### Departments that should not be included:

CSSD, kitchen, laundry, other areas where there are no patients



## Chapter 6

# Auditing Hand Hygiene Compliance

### 6.1 Aim

To accurately assess hand hygiene compliance in accordance with published guidelines using a standardised hand hygiene observation assessment tool (1, 82).



## 6.2 Auditing with the 5 Moments for hand hygiene tool

Hand hygiene compliance auditing is the established outcome measure for assessing the effectiveness of a hand hygiene program within the NHHI. Hand hygiene compliance is a valid and reliable measure within the acute care sector, in both public and private hospitals throughout Australia. HHA currently receive data from the majority of acute hospitals within Australia.

The HHA hand hygiene compliance auditing method is by direct observation of healthcare workers. Direct observation by trained and validated observers is the gold standard to monitor compliance with the 5 Moments for hand hygiene (1).





## 6.3 Rules for auditing the 5 Moments

Rules	Extended Definition
<b>Moment 1</b>	<b>HH Moment 1</b> is recorded only once the HCW touches the patient.
<b>Moment 2</b>	<b>HH Moment 2</b> is recorded <u>immediately</u> prior to any procedure <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Once Hand Hygiene has been performed, nothing in the patient's environment can be touched prior to the procedure starting.</li> </ul>
<b>Moment 3</b>	<b>HH Moment 3</b> is recorded <u>immediately</u> after a procedure of body fluid exposure risk: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nothing else should be touched prior to performing hand hygiene</li> <li>Touching the outside of a drain or drainage bag (eg urinary catheter, wound drain, chest tube drain, CSF drain), even when the circuit is not broken, is considered a body fluid exposure risk</li> <li>Can be recorded as a stand alone HH Moment when there is a body fluid exposure risk, but no patient contact - e.g. cleaning a spill of vomit, urine or faeces.</li> </ul>
<b>Moment 4</b>	<b>HH Moment 4</b> is recorded after touching the patient <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Touching the patient surroundings after touching the patient is recorded as a single <b>Moment 4</b>.</li> <li>If after <b>Moment 3</b> there is touching of the patient surroundings before leaving the patient zone this is recorded as a <b>Moment 4</b>.</li> </ul>
<b>Moment 5</b>	<b>HH Moment 5</b> is recorded when the HCW leaves the patient zone after touching the patient's immediate surroundings and the patient has not been touched. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>When multiple items in the patient surroundings are touched, only one <b>Moment 5</b> is recorded.</li> </ul>
Notes	
<b>Before/After Moments</b>	Generally for every 'before' Moment there should be an 'after' Moment recorded, unless the auditor does not witness the action. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Moment 1</b> is generally followed either a <b>Moment 3 or Moment 4</b></li> <li><b>Moment 2</b> is generally followed by <b>Moment 3</b></li> <li><b>Moment 5</b> is a stand alone Moment as there is no patient contact.</li> <li>There are a few situations when two "afters" may be recorded sequentially, however you will <u>never</u> have a M1 and a M2 in a row.</li> </ul>
<b>Action missed if not observed</b>	The HCW must be observed to perform HH as they approach the patient. If HH is not observed it should be recorded as a "missed" action (i.e. HH not performed).
<b>Only audit what you observe</b>	No "before" Moment can be recorded if auditing commences after a HCW is already touching a patient, or in the process of performing a procedure. No "after" Moment can be recorded unless the Moment is observed.
<b>Curtains</b>	Patient bed curtains are outside the patient zone and are frequently contaminated. Touching the curtains is equivalent to leaving the patient zone. HH should be performed between touching the curtains and touching the patient, and vice versa.
<b>Double Moments</b>	Two moments for HH can occur simultaneously e.g. when moving directly from one patient to another without touching anything in between. In this situation, a single HH action covers the two moments for HH, as Moments 4 and 1 coincide. When moving from touching a patient to performing a procedure on that same patient Moments 4 and Moment 2 coincide. When auditing in either situation, both Moments should be recorded as individual Moments on the data collection form.
<b>When not to record a Moment</b>	HHC is audited by HCW compliance with the 5 Moments; it is not audited by HCW performing a HH action. HH actions not corresponding to a recognised Moment are not recorded, e.g. when a HCW walks into a patient's room, does HH and walks out without touching anything. In this case no Moment had occurred, despite HH taking place, so no Moment can be recorded.

## 6.4 One Action - Two Moments

Often two moments for hand hygiene will coincide. Typically, this occurs when moving directly from one patient to another without touching anything in between. In this situation a single hand hygiene action will cover two moments for hand hygiene, as Moments 4 and 1 coincide:

For example moving from touching one patient to another patient:

- Hand hygiene is performed after touching patient A = M4
- HCW goes to the next patient area and touches patient B on the shoulder = M1
- The one hand hygiene action after touching a patient counts as the hand hygiene for before touching a patient also.

Another example is when moving from touching a patient to performing a procedure on that same patient:

- After touching the patient, hand hygiene performed = M4
- HCW changes the IV fluid bag on the same patient = M2
- The one hand hygiene action after touching the patient counts as the hand hygiene before the procedure.

When auditing in either situation, both Moments are recorded as separate Moments on the audit tool.

If the hand hygiene action (rub/wash) is missed in either of the above situations the Moments are still recorded the same, however both the actions will be entered as “missed”.

## 6.5 When NOT to record a Moment

Hand hygiene compliance is audited by Moments; it is not audited by hand hygiene action.

It is important to understand that hand hygiene actions not corresponding to an opportunity (or reason for hand hygiene) and therefore “additional” and not required should not be audited by the observer. For example, healthcare worker walks into a patient’s room, does hand hygiene then walks out without touching anything – No Moment is recorded.



## 6.6 Overcoming bias in auditing

Observer bias is introduced by inter-observer variation in the observation. The HHA training schedule of validation of auditors has been created to minimise this bias.

Selection bias is introduced by selecting HCWs, care settings, and observation times with specific hand hygiene behaviour. In practical terms, this bias can be minimised by randomly choosing locations (from your set reporting wards) and times of the day to audit.

When HCWs know hand hygiene compliance is being measured, they often initially attempt to behave correctly. This is known as the “Hawthorne Effect” (83). Evidence suggests that the Hawthorn effect may only increase compliance in areas that already have good compliance rates, but there will be no noticed effect on wards starting with low compliance (84). Indicating that people who know when hand hygiene should occur can improve their practice under auditing conditions, however people who don’t know the correct “hand hygiene Moment” to perform cannot improve their performance without further education.

However, with repeated observations, HCWs generally grow accustomed to the observer and are less affected by their presence (85), particularly if they know the auditor and are comfortable being observed.

## 6.7 Preparation for collection of hand hygiene compliance data

To ensure valid and reliable data collection, only people trained and validated by the HHA auditor training program are able to submit data to HHA.

### 6.7.1 Equipment required to conduct a hand hygiene audit

**The following equipment is required to conduct an audit:**

- Mobile device with internet access to HHA HHCApp mobile <http://hhcapp.hha.org.au/mobile/>

OR

- Copies of HHA Audit forms (see [Appendix 1](#))
- HHA coding sheet (see [Appendix 2](#))
- HHA audit ward summary sheet (see [Appendix 10](#))



### 6.7.2 Healthcare worker (HCW) codes required for auditing

Standard codes:

HCW Code	Type of HCW	Extended Definition
N	Nurse/Midwife	All nurses – RN, Div 1, Div 2/EN, Midwives, Agency Staff, Domiciliary nurses, Psychiatric
DR	Medical Doctor	All doctors – Consultants, Registrars, Residents, Interns, Visiting Consultants, GPs
PC	Personal Care staff	PSA, AIN, PCW, wardsmen, orderly, warders, ward/nursing assistants
AH	Allied Health	Physiotherapists, Occupational therapists, Dieticians, Speech Pathologists, Radiographers, Pharmacists, P&O, Allied Health Assistants, Podiatrists, Music/Play therapists, Audiologists, Plaster technicians, ECG technicians
D	Domestic staff	Staff engaged in the provision of food and cleaning services, maintenance people
AC	Administrative and Clerical staff	Ward clerks, admissions officers
BL	Invasive Technician	Phlebotomists, Dialysis technicians
SN, SAH, SDR, SPC	Students	Students of N, AH, DR, PC
O	Other	Persons not categorised elsewhere
AMB	Ambulance	Ambulance workers, patient transport

Dental codes:

HCW Code	Type of HCW	Extended Definition
DO	Dentist	All dentists, specialist dentists
DT	Dental Therapist	Dental therapists, dental hygienist, dental prosthetist, oral health therapists
DA	Dental Assistant	Dental assistant, dental nurse
DL	Dental Technician	Dental technician, laboratory staff (no patient contact)
S	Student	Student, in front of any of the above codes e.g. SDO includes persons undertaking study to become a dentist etc.

### 6.7.3 Adding personalised healthcare worker codes

Organisation administrators can add their own healthcare worker codes into the HHCApp system. These codes will need to be listed under one of the healthcare worker Parent codes (see Section 6.7.2 above). For example, data could be collected specifically on surgical registrars by adding “Surgical Registrar” under the parent code of DR. This allows for facilities to run local reports for specific groups of HCWs.

Please see the HHCApp Instructions for Use

<http://hha.org.au/HHComplianceSystem.aspx> for detailed instructions on how to add personalised healthcare worker codes.





## 6.8 Conducting a HHA hand hygiene compliance audit

This section details the steps required to conduct a hand hygiene compliance audit:

### 6.8.1 Timing of audits

Three HHA hand hygiene compliance audits need to be conducted each year (see section 7.2). It is recommended that auditing is commenced 6 – 8 weeks prior to the due date for data submission. This allows time for feedback / reporting of results, education, or any other interventions to improve hand hygiene compliance to be implemented in the 8 weeks prior to the next audit cycle.

Some facilities are required to report hand hygiene compliance results on a monthly basis, and are therefore required to audit on an ongoing basis throughout the year. If this is the case it is still important to feedback results and to implement new interventions at regular times throughout the year.

### 6.8.2 Time to complete a hand hygiene compliance audit

To achieve valid results, hand hygiene compliance should be assessed on a defined minimum number of hand hygiene observations (Moments). The time taken to complete the required number of observations will vary depending on the level of clinical activity in the observed area, the experience of the auditor, and the time of day the audit is conducted.

The data collection schedule will be influenced by the number of acute beds in each facility (see section 7.2.1), the number of trained staff available to undertake hand hygiene observations, and the option taken for the selection of wards (See Section 5.7). Hand hygiene compliance rates should be reflective of a cross-section of the facility's HCWs, rather than just repeated or prolonged observations on a small number of HCWs.

### 6.8.3 Preparation of the wards

Unit Managers should be notified prior to commencing compliance auditing. Wards / departments should be asked to ensure alcohol-based handrub products are in all the appropriate places before auditing commences. If there are barriers to hand hygiene e.g. no available alcohol-based handrub, soap or paper towels, this should be recorded in the notes section of the audit tool, then reported to the shift or unit manager prior to leaving the area.



#### 6.8.4 Conducting a hand hygiene compliance audit

- Arrive at target ward / department and introduce yourself to the shift manager and inform them of your role
- Always perform hand hygiene upon entering a ward to audit. It is very important to lead by example
- Hand hygiene auditors are encouraged to be open and honest about what they are doing, and show the audit tool and how the data collected is de-identified. This may be for staff, patients or visitors
- There needs to be at least one patient and one HCW present in a room to start auditing. If neither are present, move to another room
- Auditors need to position themselves to view the patient bed, sink, and ABHR area; however they must remain out of the workflow area of the observed staff. The presence or absence of a convenient location from which to observe patient beds and hand hygiene facilities may impact on which patient bays are selected for observation
- When a patient's bed curtains are drawn, permission should be sought from the relevant HCW and patient to allow auditors to continue to view activities in the area. Although there may be some occasions when this is not appropriate, these are rare. Observing HCW activities behind closed curtains in the ICU is often necessary
- HHC should be assessed on all categories of HCWs who enter observed ward bays. Try not to observe the same HCW for the entire audit session
- The number of HCWs observed at one time depends on their level of activity and the competency of the auditor. More than one HCW can be observed simultaneously, provided their hand hygiene *Moments* can be accurately observed and recorded. If this is not possible, then the compliance of additional HCWs should not be recorded until the index HCW has left the bay, or has ceased activity. It is better to record fewer moments accurately than many Moments inaccurately.
- A hand hygiene Moment is only documented when the field observer can accurately observe the HCW and the *Moment* that has been completed. If an auditor is unsure whether the observed HCW performed hand hygiene, then such *Moments* should not be recorded. The only exception is when a HCW is observed to enter a room and go directly to the patient.
- A Moment finishes when a HCW:
  - Moves from one patient to another
  - Leaves the room on completion of patient care
  - Touches the curtain partition in a multi-patient room
  - HCW moves from touching a patient to doing a procedure or vice versa
- A Moment can finish in another area outside a patient room if patient care is not yet completed e.g. transporting a bedpan to the pan room
- The HHC audit session has no specific time frame, it can be conducted for as long or as little time as the auditor has allocated



- At the conclusion of an audit session the following needs to be completed:
  - Thank the shift manager and highlight any problems that need addressing immediately e.g. No hand hygiene product available
  - If data is collected on a mobile device a report can be generated immediately to provide feedback to the ward

**There can be circumstances where it is not appropriate to conduct a hand hygiene observation session; these include:**

- Emergency situations where hand hygiene is secondary to patient safety (e.g. when any hospital 'code' is called)
- End of life care
- If the patient, or patient's family object
- During private discussions between HCWs and patient/ patient's family.

## 6.9 How to use the hand hygiene audit tool

The HHA hand hygiene compliance audits should only be conducted by trained and validated staff. Data collection can be via paper or mobile device. However, HHA strongly recommend the use of mobile devices for data collection as this removes duplication of data entry.

### 6.9.1 Data collection via a mobile device

If using a mobile device, user instructions can be found on the HHA website <http://hha.org.au/HHComplianceSystem.aspx>

In particular please read the "mobile device troubleshooting guide". Versions are available for both Apple and Android devices.

Then you can access the mobile data entry site on your mobile phone/tablet via <http://hhcapp.hha.org.au/mobile/>

There are multiple data validation codes within the mobile data entry system that will ensure that the required information is entered correctly.

To enable **practice** using a mobile device, without harming your data set, use the following practice login:

Auditor Username: Ignaz

Password: Ignaz1

**Please note:** Each trained auditor requires an individual login to enter hand hygiene data. Logins should never be shared.





### 6.9.2 Paper based data collection – Using the HHA Audit Tool

#### For each session fill in the demographic details on arrival at target ward

- Health Service = Hospital or Network name
- Session number = The audit number for that particular ward which is then transferred to the hand hygiene ward summary sheet (see [Appendix 10](#))
  - The first audit on a specific ward will be session no.1
  - The second audit on the same ward will be session no.2
  - The first audit on a different ward will be session no. 1 on that ward
- Start and Finish times are for your own personal statistics to enable you to calculate the amount of time it takes to conduct each audit. This information can then be reported to your senior management to assist with staffing requirements.

#### For each Moment observed the following should be recorded on the audit form:

- HCW – needs to be filled in every time a Moment is observed
- Moment – fill in the Moment observed.
  - Only one Moment should be filled in per box. If multiple Moments are observed a new box needs to be filled in for each moment (see [Appendix 3](#))
- Action – needs to be filled in for every Moment observed
  - If no hand hygiene action is observed then it is recorded as a missed action
  - If the HCW performs hand hygiene then proceeds to touch their face/nose/mouth or touches items in the healthcare environment prior to touching the patient then this should be recorded as a missed hand hygiene action
  - If a HCW is observed to do hand hygiene incorrectly (e.g. one handed, minimal volume alcohol-based handrub or no soap) this should be recorded as a missed action
- Gloves – are only recorded if the HCW puts gloves on in a Before Moment (1 or 2), takes gloves off in an after Moment (3, 4, or 5), or continues from one Moment to another with the same pair of gloves
  - Even if gloves are worn for patient care hand hygiene still needs to be performed and recorded before and after glove use
  - If no gloves are worn then the “gloves” box is left blank.



## 6.10 Patient safety and privacy during hand hygiene audits

Any 'unsafe' practices that are observed during hand hygiene auditing should be addressed immediately or reported to the appropriate manager for follow-up; otherwise compliance rates should be reported after an audit has been fully completed (61).

Observation does not justify infringing the principle of patient privacy. Auditors should show discretion regarding where they place themselves and their movements whilst conducting audits (63). It is recommended that patients be informed on admission that hand hygiene audits are regularly conducted as a quality improvement activity. Patients or their family may request they not be involved in an audit.

## 6.11 Hand hygiene and healthcare workflows

No healthcare worker deliberately chooses not to perform hand hygiene as is required for patient, staff and environmental safety. Non-compliance with hand hygiene according to the 5 Moments may be as a result of the healthcare worker's environment or workflow. If a healthcare worker doesn't have the right equipment, or hand hygiene product easily available they will be unable to perform hand hygiene as required.

HHA have mapped out two common clinical activities where hand hygiene compliance is often suboptimal. This process mapping identifies workflows to maximise hand hygiene compliance by making it easier for staff to comply with the 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene. HHA examples include:

### Blood Collection

Practice Guidelines

<http://www.hha.org.au/UserFiles/file/Manual/BloodCollectionPracticeGuidelines.pdf>

Audit Guidelines

<http://www.hha.org.au/UserFiles/file/AuditTools/BloodCollectionAuditing.pdf>

### Dialysis

Practice Guidelines

<http://www.hha.org.au/UserFiles/file/AuditTools/SOPDialysis.Oct12.pdf>

Audit Guidelines

<http://www.hha.org.au/UserFiles/file/AuditTools/SOPDialysisAuditingGuide.Oct12.pdf>

When auditing hand hygiene compliance it is worthwhile to note if there are particular activities of patient care where hand hygiene is regularly suboptimal. To address this ask the relevant staff to assist you to map out the required task (see above examples), and to design a solution themselves to make hand hygiene by the 5 Moments easier to comply with. Involving staff in this process promotes a sense of ownership of hand hygiene and hand hygiene improvement.



## Chapter 7

# Data Entry and Submission, Validation and Reporting

### 7.1 Aim

To enable correct data entry, data submission to HHA, and accurate reporting of hand hygiene compliance results.

To ensure all data collected is validated as a correct representation of hand hygiene compliance.



## 7.2 Requirements for national data submission

National hand hygiene compliance audits should be undertaken at three **set** times a year.

### National Audit Periods:

Audit One – 1<sup>st</sup> November – 31<sup>st</sup> March

Audit Two – 1<sup>st</sup> April – 30<sup>th</sup> June

Audit Three – 1<sup>st</sup> July – 31<sup>st</sup> October

Data is required to be submitted to HHA by the last day of each audit period. No late data entry will be accepted. Data can only be collected by trained and valid hand hygiene auditors.

Each organisation needs to ensure that the data they submit is correct. Failure to verify data may result in hand hygiene compliance data not being accepted into the HHA National data set.

### 7.2.1 Acute hospital data submission

Both public and private acute hospitals are required to follow the department selection process (See section 5.7.1), collect the required number of moments as per Table 7.2.1 below, and then submit their data to the NHHI three times a year.

For a separate document outlining the requirements for acute hospitals please see:

<http://hha.org.au/wardselection.aspx>

**Table 7.2.1 Required Moments Acute Hospitals**

Number of acute inpatient beds	Minimum Total number hand hygiene moments per audit
> 400	2450
301 to 400	2100
201 to 300	1750
151 to 200	800
101 to 150	600
51 to 100	200
25 to 50	100
<25 **	50

\*\* Auditing in hospitals with <25 beds is dependent on jurisdictions. See Table 7.2.1.1



**Table 7.2.1.1 – Current Jurisdictional requirements for hospital < 25 acute inpatient beds**

Jurisdiction	Auditing required in hospitals < 25 acute inpatient beds
ACT	YES
NSW	YES
NT	YES
QLD	Refer to Jurisdictional representative
SA	Refer to Jurisdictional representative
TAS	YES
VIC	YES
WA	Refer to Jurisdictional representative

## 7.2.2 Day hospital data submission

Day hospitals are required to collect the required number of moments as per Table 7.2.2.2 below, and then submit their data to the NHHI three times a year. For a separate document outlining the requirements for day hospitals please see <http://hha.org.au/LatestNationalData/guidelines-for-data-submission---day-hospitals.aspx>

**Table 7.2.2.1 Day hospital size categories**

Peer Group	Definition
Large	Standalone facility performing >5,000 procedures per annum
Medium	Standalone facility performing 2,000 - 5,000 procedures per annum
Small	Standalone facility performing <2,000 procedures per annum

**Table 7.2.2.2 Required Moments Day Hospitals**

Day Hospital Size	Required number of hand hygiene audits per year	Required number of hand hygiene observations per facility
Large	3	350
Medium	3	200
Small	3	100



### 7.2.3 Standalone/Satellite Dialysis/Oncology data submission

Standalone/satellite dialysis/oncology centres are required to collect the required number of moments as per Table 7.2.3 below, and submit their data to the NHHI three times a year. For a separate document outlining the requirements for standalone/satellite dialysis centres please see <http://hha.org.au/LatestNationalData/guidelines-for-data-submission---dialysis.aspx>

**Table 7.2.3.1 Standalone/Satellite Dialysis/Oncology size categories**

Peer Group	Definition
Large	Facility performing $\geq 5,000$ procedures per annum
Small	Facility performing $< 5,000$ procedures per annum

**Table 7.2.3.2 Required Moments Standalone/Satellite Dialysis/Oncology Centres**

Dialysis Centre Size	Required number of hand hygiene audits per year	Required number of hand hygiene observations per facility per audit
Large	3	200
Small	3	100

### 7.2.4 Dental data submission

Where sites deem hand hygiene auditing to be appropriate Table 7.2.4 below provides guidance regarding the collection of representative hand hygiene compliance data by solo, group and hospital based dental services as part of the National Hand Hygiene Initiative. For a separate document outlining the requirements for dental services please see:

<http://hha.org.au/home/resources-for-dental/guidelines-for-dental-facilities.aspx>

**Table 7.2.4.1 Dental service description**

Peer Group	Definition
Solo practice, solo practitioner or very small oral health service	An Oral Health/Dental practice with a single dentist or an oral health service with a single dental chair/surgery
Small oral health service/dental practice	Oral Health/Dental practice with a total of 2 - 5 dental chairs/surgeries in one or more locations
Medium sized oral health service/dental practice	Oral health/Dental practice with between 6 and 10 dental chairs/surgeries in one or more locations
Large oral health service/ dental hospital	Any dental oral health services/dental hospitals with more than 10 dental chairs/surgeries in one or more locations



**Table 7.2.4.2 Required Moments Dental Services**


Dialysis Centre Size	Required number of hand hygiene audits per year	Required number of hand hygiene observations per facility per audit
Solo practice	HHC Auditing not appropriate	
Small	3	50
Medium	3	100
Large	3	200

## 7.3 Rationale for number of Moments to be collected

Inevitably compliance data will be used for comparison, be it at a ward, hospital, jurisdictional or national level. When data is used for comparison, it is important to note that a higher number of Moments audited will generate a more reliable compliance rate.

For example, if a ward is audited for 50 Moments generating a compliance rate of 50%, the exact binomial 95% Confidence Interval (95%CI) will be 36% to 64%. This means the real compliance rate could be anywhere between 36% and 64%. If another ward audits 350 Moments and generates a compliance rate of 50%, the 95%CI is 45% to 55%. So we are more confident the real rate is close to 50%.

HHA recommend 95% confidence intervals are included when reporting compliance rates. See Chart 7.3.1 below for a further demonstration on the effect on confidence intervals when the numbers of moments are increased.

**Chart 7.3.1 Confidence Intervals and Moments Audited**



## 7.4 Data entry and management

All hand hygiene compliance data should be recorded for each of the *5 Moments* either via a mobile device that syncs data directly into the HHA HHCAApp database, or on the standard HHA paper data collection form (see [Appendix 1](#)) and later manually entered.

All new healthcare facilities joining the NHHI need to contact HHA to be set up in the HHCAApp database and to be given login access. A pre-requisite to being given access to HHCAApp is having a trained auditor at the facility able to manage data collection and reporting.

### 7.4.1 Tips for accurate data collection and entry

On a mobile device each new auditing session should be started on the Sessions page by pressing the Add Session button.

For paper based data collection each session on each ward should be recorded on a new data collection form.

### 7.4.2 At the conclusion of the ward visit:

#### For mobile data collection:

- Ensure you press the Done button, and press OK to the message asking if you have finished with this session.
- Sync your data
- Logout by pressing the Logout button

#### For paper based data collection:

- Check that all demographic fields on each HHA 5 Moments audit sheet are correct and legible
- Check that there is a HCW / Moment / Action (+/- Gloves) in each box, if one item is missing that Moment needs to be crossed out as it is incomplete and it cannot be used
- Add up total number of Moments, and the total number of correct Moments (rub or wash) collected and write the total on the bottom right corner of audit sheet (see [Appendix 1](#))
- Fill in HHA ward summary sheet for each session on each ward ensuring that all fields are filled in (see [Appendix 10](#)).



### 7.4.3 Data entry

For all data entry instructions see HHCApp written instructions for auditors:  
<http://hha.org.au/HHComplianceSystem.aspx>

This includes instructions for both mobile and paper based data collection.

#### For mobile data entry:

Once you have collected your data on the ward

- Ensure you are in an area where you have access to the internet
- Press the Sync button on your device
- Press OK to the message asking if you want to synchronise all sessions with the server

#### For paper based data entry

- Each session on the wards should be entered as a new session in the HHA HHCApp
- Enter data from paper audit sheets as per fields on HHA HHCApp for each session
- Check total number of moments for each session entered into HHCApp equals numbers recorded on summary sheet.

## 7.5 Data validation

Each individual who is responsible for the submission of hand hygiene compliance data to the NHHI should validate their healthcare facility data prior to submission to eliminate errors.

Data validation is required to be completed before final submission of data to HHA. While an audit is “**active**” in HHCApp, changes can be made to data if errors are found. Once an audit is submitted and the status in HHCApp is “**pending approval**”, then changes can only be made after discussion with your jurisdictional coordinator, or HHA.

The following should be used as a guide to assist recognition of data errors, whether it is data input, auditor, or other errors.

### 7.5.1 Correct number of moments

The first data validation check is to ensure that the right number of moments have been collected for your facility. Please refer to one of the sections 7.2.1, 7.2.2, 7.2.3, or 7.2.4 relevant to your facility type, to find the required number of moments for submission per organisation.

If you work at an acute hospital you may need to collect a specific number of moments for each ward, depending on your choice of ward selection (see Section 5.7.1).



### 7.5.1.1 For those with Organisation Administrator access

Login via [HHCApp](#) (rather than the [mobile data entry site](#))

From the home screen, under the Reports heading banner

- Click on “Compliance rate by department”

In the search filters - select:

- National Audit Period - The current audit period
- Organisation – The required facility
  - This is only applicable if you are an organisation administrator at multiple facilities
  - Click search

This report details the overall facility “Total Moments”, and below that each department “Total Moments”. Does it match your required number of moments overall? Does it match your required number of moments per ward? Are there departments that have significantly higher HHC than other departments, and can this be explained by known hand hygiene practices or may it be due to auditor differences?

If a department/ward is not visible in the report it is due to no data being entered for that department/ward for the data period searched.

#### **If the required number of moments have not been met**

Check that data hasn’t been entered for a “local” audit period (instead of a “National” audit)

From the home screen, under the Reports heading banner

- Click on “Compliance rate by department”

In the search filters - select:

- **Local** Audit Period – select all available in turn
- Organisation – The required facility
  - This is only applicable if you are an organisation administrator at multiple facilities
  - Click search
- If there is data here that should be a part of the National audit then:
  - Click on Sessions from the top horizontal menu
  - In the search filters select Audit type - Local
  - Click on the specific session
  - In the Session Details section - Change the audit filter to “current National audit name
  - Click Save



Check that data hasn't been entered against the wrong department by running the Compliance rate by department report as at the start of 7.5.1.1. If there is data entered against a department that wasn't part of the facility data collection this audit period then:

- Click on Sessions in the top horizontal menu
- Click on the department name where the data has been entered inaccurately
- In the Session Details section - Change the department filter to "the required department"
- Click Save

If data you believe has been collected is not found please contact HHA via [hha@austin.org.au](mailto:hha@austin.org.au)

### 7.5.1.2 For those with Region or Organisation Group Administrator access

Login via [HHCApp](#) (rather than the [mobile data entry site](#))

From the home screen, under the Reports heading banner

- Click on "Compliance rate by Organisation"

In the search filters - select:

- National Audit Period - The current audit period
- Click search

This report details the overall group "Total Moments", and below that each organisation "Total Moments". Are all members of your group visible in this report? If a facility in your group is not visible in the report this is due to no data being entered for that facility for the data period searched. Secondly, have all of your organisations submitted their required number of moments?



### 7.5.2 Compliance rate by individual auditor

- The Auditor and sessions report can be run at an organisational level or above. This report provided details on the data collected by each auditor at an organisation, including number of moments collected and compliance rate collected by an individual auditor.

The auditor and sessions report can be used to:

- Confirm auditors have collected 100 moments in a year for annual auditor validation
- Identify if a review of auditing processes is required

A review of auditing processes should be conducted if the following are identified:

- An auditor has >95% hand hygiene compliance
- Any auditors with hand hygiene compliance significantly higher or lower than the majority of auditors

**Note:** For those auditors outside of the 'normal range of HHC' does where they collect their data explain their results e.g. high HHC and all data collected in NICU, low HHC and all data collected in ED, or high HHC but all other auditors that audited the same wards had similar results.

### 7.5.3 Further data validation checks

#### 7.5.3.1 Compliance Rate by Moment Report

When reviewing the Compliance Rate by Moment report the general spread of moments is: a larger amount of M1 and M4 data, approximately 10-15% M2 data, approximately 10-15% M3 data, and a variable amount of M5 data.

Look for any anomalies, for example Moments that have 100% compliance; is this an accurate reflection of your organisation's practices?

Also review the Moment by HCW data - Do you have administrative/clerical (AC) doing procedures? Which auditor collected this data?

#### 7.5.3.2 Compliance Rate by Healthcare Worker

When reviewing the Compliance Rate by healthcare worker report, look for any anomalies including: healthcare worker groups that have 100% compliance, is this an accurate reflection of your organisation's practices?



## 7.6 Data Submission

Once data validation has been completed, it is a requirement of each organisation to formally submit the national data to HHA.

Only users with Organisational Administrator (or higher) access are able to submit the data. Data submission is completed by pressing the “submit for approval” button.

Please see these instructions on how to complete the submission process:

<http://www.hha.org.au/UserFiles/file/HHCApp/NewHHCApp-SubmittngAnAudit.pdf>

Once data submission is completed, the “status” of the audit changes from “active” to “pending approval”. No further data can be entered for the audit. If an auditor tries to sync mobile data at this stage the data will be synced as local data, with the audit name in the following format: AuditorName\_temp\_audit\_date\_time.

After completion of data validation at a jurisdictional and national level the audit status changes to “complete”.

## 7.7 Reporting results

Feedback of results to all concerned is fundamental to any data collection process. Feedback is an essential part of every quality cycle, and feedback of improved audit results assists in maintaining local support and enthusiasm for the hand hygiene program. More importantly feedback of poor compliance rates that remain unchanged requires intervention to avoid a complacent workforce. (1).

For step by step instructions on how to generate reports from the HHA HHCApp please refer to the HHA website <http://hha.org.au/HHComplianceSystem.aspx>

Reports for organisations can be produced at any time from HHCApp. The hand hygiene organisation administrator can choose to report by national audit period, local audit period, or by a specific date range e.g. Monthly.



### 7.7.1 Standard Reports

The following reports are available to all users with reporting access:

#### Compliance rate by Organisation

- Only available if you have access to multiple organisations

#### Compliance rate by Department

- An organisation report with HHC for all departments on one report

#### Compliance rate by HCW Type

- A report with HHC for each HCW type on one report
- Can be run at an organisation level, or for a specific department

#### Compliance rate by Moment

- A report with HHC for each Moment on one report
- Can be run at an organisation level, or for a specific department

#### Compliance rate by Department Type

- Groups HHC data by department type, rather than individual departments e.g. all medical department HHC combined

#### Combined Compliance rate by Moment and HCW type

- Includes both the HCW type report and Moment report into one file
- 

#### Auditor and sessions

- This report provided details on the data collected by each auditor at an organisation, including number of moments collected and compliance rate collected by an individual auditor.

#### Action by Moment

- A report detailing which hand hygiene action was used for each moment, rub, wash, missed
- Can be run at an organisation level, or for a specific department

#### Department poster report

- This report provides a one page summary of hand hygiene for an individual ward, including overall HHC, HHC by moment, and HHC by HCW on that one ward
- Useful as a summary report for department heads, or as a poster to display hand hygiene results for the public





### 7.7.2 Custom Reports

If the “Standard reports” do not provide the hand hygiene data in a format you require you may be able to create the report you require using the custom reports.

The following reports are available to all users with reporting access:

#### Snapshot report

- Step 1 - Choose the date range of the data for the report
- Step 2 – Choose which data set you require
- Step 3 – Decide how you want the data presented

#### Trend report

- Allows reporting change in performance over time

Further details on custom reports can be found here:

<http://www.hha.org.au/UserFiles/file/Newsletters/custom-reports-cheatsheet-portrait.pdf>

## 7.8 State / Territory reporting of hand hygiene compliance

Hand hygiene compliance rates for each jurisdiction are released by the relevant health departments in each state/territory. Please contact your HHA jurisdictional coordinator for further details.

## 7.9 National reporting of Hand Hygiene Compliance

Overall rates of hand hygiene compliance (including 95% confidence intervals) will be reported nationally three times per year. All data submitted is analysed by HHA and reported to the Commission, and fed back to each jurisdiction.

Data entered into HHCAApp is only reported by HHA as national aggregate data. No identifying data is published by HHA.



## 7.10 National hand hygiene benchmark

The national hand hygiene benchmark is set by the Australian Health Ministers' Advisory Council (AHMAC). From 2017 onwards, the benchmark has been set to 80%.

The Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (the Commission) is responsible for implementing the AHMAC decision, and recently there has been a change to this benchmark.

The following has been provided to HHA by the Commission for the information of all involved in the hand hygiene program:

*Since 2010, the rate of compliance for individual health services across Australia has been reported on the MyHospitals website. Recent audit data has found that, overall, hospital staff across the country had 83% compliance with best practice hand hygiene. Raising the benchmark to 80% in 2017 will encourage active participation from all clinicians, and will meet public expectations of high levels of compliance in regard to hand hygiene.*

*Reporting of hand hygiene compliance rates across healthcare worker groups, rather than the current reporting of aggregated health service results, will also encourage health services with lower rates to take action to raise compliance in these critical groups, demonstrating a strong commitment to infection control and patient safety.*

*The benchmark of 80% in 2017 relates to all five moments of hand hygiene. All health services, especially those with lower rates of Moments 1 and 2 will be encouraged to take action to raise compliance in these critical areas.*

There are currently ongoing discussions regarding how this data will be presented on the MyHospitals website.

If you have any questions about this benchmark, please contact Catherine Katz, Director SQISIR (the Commission):

Email: [catherine.katz@safetyandquality.gov.au](mailto:catherine.katz@safetyandquality.gov.au)

Phone: 02 9126 3574



## Chapter 8

# Sustaining a Hand Hygiene Program

### 8.1 Aim

To maintain and continuously improve a Hand Hygiene Program



## 8.2 Key features of long-term sustainability include the following:

### 8.2.1 Hospital-wide rollout

For this program to be successful the enthusiastic and continued support of your facility Executive is essential. Healthcare worker acceptance and ownership of the NHHI program assists sustainability.

#### Ongoing tasks of hand hygiene project team:


1. Initiate reporting of hand hygiene compliance results as a regular infection control (IC) or quality report to the chief executive officer (CEO) / health facility board
2. Extend program to wards that have not been audited for the national program
  - Ensure healthcare facility ownership by progressing the hand hygiene education and auditing program to **all** wards/departments. For continued improvement and sustainability of the hand hygiene program it is imperative that all departments are included in the program.
3. Report results back to wards
  - As per any quality activity, it is important after conducting an audit to feedback the results to the relevant groups e.g. HHC per ward or HCW group. This will encourage ownership of the program at an individual level (see Chapter 7 on how to run data reports)
4. Evaluate hand hygiene program performance
  - See Section 8.3

### 8.2.2 Region / Jurisdiction level involvement

During 2008 the health ministers in all states and territories agreed on the objectives of the NHHI and continue to actively support all healthcare facilities to participate in the program.



**Figure 8.2.3 hand hygiene Culture-Change (52)**



### 8.2.3 Hand hygiene culture-change and sustainability of the hand hygiene program

Once a hand hygiene program is firmly established within a healthcare facility it is important to review and continually refresh it. When relaunching your program, please remember that the hand hygiene program is **not** just about hand hygiene auditing, or completing an online learning package. It is a program of education, monitoring and feedback that results in a behavioural and cultural change across all staff.

The following section suggests ways to create sustainability within the hand hygiene program:

#### 8.2.3.1 Increase the awareness and importance of hand hygiene

It is important to evaluate and relaunch a hand hygiene program every 1-2 years to revitalise existing staff enthusiasm and to capture the attention of new staff. A useful tool for hand hygiene program evaluation is the WHO Hand Hygiene Self-Assessment Framework

([http://www.who.int/gpsc/5may/hhsa\\_framework/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/gpsc/5may/hhsa_framework/en/index.html)).

See Section 8.3 for further detail.

The following are questions to ask, or suggestions to follow, to relaunch a hand hygiene program:

- Have you written an improvement plan? Did you write this in conjunction with the Self-Assessment Framework and your HHC reports?
- Have you reviewed your hand hygiene program data? Is your HHC increasing over time? Are your infection rates decreasing over time?
- From your hand hygiene data - What areas need addressing for the relaunch – which HCW group or particular Moment has the lowest compliance? This will help to prioritise education requirements
- Reengage with your major stakeholders about the importance of the hand hygiene program e.g. CEO, heads of departments
- Promote awareness of the hand hygiene program to all staff via newsletters or payslip messages etc.
- Provide latest evidence based practice updates

#### 8.2.3.2 Provide education

Aim to provide education to **all** healthcare worker groups annually, with additional education sessions regularly throughout the year to target clinical staff and high risk groups.

Utilise the resources provided by HHA or your jurisdiction:

- Video in-service – The 5 Moments Explained (can be accessed via the HHA website)
- Online learning packages for various healthcare worker groups
- See HHA website for many other educational resources, especially the PowerPoint presentations aimed at specific healthcare worker groups.



### 8.2.3.3 Provide a supportive environment to encourage and promote alcohol-based handrub use and hand hygiene compliance

- Conduct staff surveys on awareness of the hand hygiene program, and ask staff for suggested improvements.
- Conduct product availability surveys (See additional audit tools on <http://hha.org.au/ForHealthcareWorkers/auditing.aspx>)
  - Product availability should be reviewed regularly to ensure correct placement and full containers
  - Over time the frequency can be decreased, with an annual survey recommended.

### 8.2.3.4 Using the hand hygiene evaluations for culture-change

In a facility where the hand hygiene program is being implemented for the first time, data indicating gaps in good practices and knowledge, or a poor perception of the problem, can be used to raise awareness and convince HCWs that there is a need for improvement (79).

This feedback helps to promote local area ownership of hand hygiene issues, and should encourage changes to practice where indicated from the feedback. Discussing hand hygiene compliance data at the local level should promote the development of local initiatives to address the specific issues.

Subsequently, after implementation, regular and timely reporting of data is crucial to demonstrate improvement; thereby sustaining the motivation to perform good practices and making continuous individual and institutional efforts (79). See Ch. 7 on how to generate hand hygiene compliance reports.

The hand hygiene compliance reports can be used to compare healthcare worker categories against each other. It could be used as a competition for staff to improve their hand hygiene compliance e.g. Nurses vs. medical staff, students vs. qualified staff etc. They may be used to stimulate competition between wards, or if in a network hospital against hospital. This will encourage ownership of the program by these groups. The hand hygiene compliance reports could be used to target education for those with lower scores, or to give prizes for the best performances.





## 8.3 WHO Self-Assessment Framework

According to the WHO Self-Assessment Framework ([http://www.who.int/gpsc/5may/hhsa\\_framework/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/gpsc/5may/hhsa_framework/en/index.html)) an ideal hand hygiene culture change program should include:

- An easily available and continuous supply of alcohol-based handrub that meets the recommendations of HHA
- Appropriate availability of sinks, soap, and paper towel
- Mandatory hand hygiene training of all HCWs on commencement of employment, with ongoing education throughout the year
- Staff available to conduct hand hygiene education throughout the facility
- Validated staff to conduct HHC assessments (where applicable)
- Regular HHC audits (where applicable)
- Regular feedback of HHC audit / program measures, including immediate feedback and data trends over time, to:
  - HCWs
  - Facility leaders
- Hand hygiene promotional materials throughout the facility
- Establishment of a hand hygiene project team that has dedicated time to regularly promote hand hygiene
- Clear commitment from the CEO, Director of Nursing, and Medical Director
- Patient engagement programs
- Initiatives to support local continuous improvement e.g. OLPs, hand hygiene newsletters etc.

### 8.3.1 Is your facility a hand hygiene leader?

To be considered a “leader” in Hand Hygiene the WHO have developed an additional section to the Self-Assessment Framework, which indicates a very comprehensive hand hygiene program that can be held as an example for other facilities to aspire to.

HHA recommend facilities review these leadership criteria as part of their hand hygiene program evaluation.



## Chapter 9

# Hand Hygiene Outcome Measures: Rates of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia (SAB)

### 9.1 Aim

To accurately assess the rates of SAB within the Australian healthcare system.



## 9.2 Definition of SAB

The NHHI uses the National Hospital Acquired Infection *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia definition which has been endorsed by the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care's Inter Jurisdictional Committee in 2009 (18).

Please click on the below link for the national SAB definition:

<https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/our-work/healthcare-associated-infection/national-hai-surveillance-initiative/national-definition-and-caluculation-of-hai-staphylococcus-aureus-bacteraemia/>



## Chapter 10

# Other Useful Interventions

### 10.1 Aim

Other infection prevention interventions are available to complement the successful implementation, and sustainability of a hand hygiene culture change program.



## 10.2 Additional audit tools to complement the hand hygiene program

In the HHA hand hygiene compliance tool neither the duration of the hand hygiene action, nor other quality aspects of hand hygiene such as the quantity of product used, technique of hand hygiene, donning/doffing of gloves, type of gloves used, length of fingernails, or presence of jewellery are assessed. Once the hand hygiene program has been well established in your facility these are items you may wish to address whilst conducting the hand hygiene compliance audit, but they will not be reportable to HHA.

HHA has a number of additional audit tools available for each healthcare facility on the HHA website; also see [Appendix 7](#).

## 10.3 Cleaning shared patient equipment

All HCWs should be familiar with their hospital's "Cleaning, Disinfection and Sterilisation" policy and the Australian Guidelines for the Prevention and Control of Infection in Healthcare (2).

Any instrument or piece of equipment that is to be reused requires reprocessing, cleaning, disinfection and/or sterilisation. The minimum level of reprocessing required for reusable instruments and equipment depends on the individual situation (i.e. the body site and the nature by which the instrument will be used) (2).

Many common items that are shared between patients are classified as "Non-critical" items, i.e. items which come into contact with intact skin but not mucous membranes (2).

Some examples of non-critical items include sphygmomanometers, blood pressure cuffs, patient slides, stethoscopes, commodes, intravenous pumps and ventilators, trolleys, keyboards, ward telephones, and gait aids. (2).

Non critical items require cleaning in line with manufacturer recommendations and facility protocols. Thorough cleaning with a detergent is sufficient for most non-critical items after each individual use, although either intermediate or low-level disinfection may be appropriate in specific circumstances (2).

Ward staff should be educated on how to clean common items that are shared between patients, and which product to use. Appropriate cleaning product must also be easily accessible e.g. at point of use, on BP machine trolleys.

Standardised auditing of cleaning practices can be difficult. Nevertheless, promoting the cleaning of shared patient equipment and the use of detergent impregnated wipes can dramatically reduce the risk of cross-transmission of pathogens (7).



## 10.4 Bare below the elbows

Some Hand Hygiene Culture Change Programs advocate a 'Bare below the Elbows' policy for all HCWs. Whilst there is currently limited evidence to promote this as a formal recommendation, WHO recommend that long sleeves be avoided. Long sleeves have been found to be contaminated with pathogens, and can impede appropriate hand hygiene (1).

## 10.5 Hand hygiene in shared patient areas

There are many shared patient areas within healthcare facilities e.g. waiting rooms, or group based therapy. Staff within these areas may move between patients regularly.

If a healthcare worker has contact with patients within a shared area then the principles of the 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene remain.

Patients should also be instructed to perform hand hygiene on entering and leaving a shared area.

Personal alcohol-based handrub packs for staff may be more appropriate in these areas.

If patients are sharing equipment appropriate cleaning protocols should be followed. However, this may not be practicable where equipment is passed between patients quickly e.g. Passing a ball in an exercise class. Ensure that all shared equipment is cleaned between sessions/groups.



# Glossary

The following terms are referred to throughout this manual:

## Alcohol-based handrub (ABHR)

An alcohol-containing preparation designed for application to the hands in order to reduce the number of viable organisms with maximum efficacy and speed.

## Alcohol wipes

An alcohol-containing wipe used to clean non-soiled shared patient equipment in between each patient use e.g. BP cuffs.

## Aseptic technique

Aseptic Technique is an evidenced based practice that provides clinicians with a standardised approach to procedures ensuring adherence to the principles of aseptic technique. It aims to protect patients by preventing pathogenic organisms, in sufficient quantity to cause infection, from being introduced to susceptible sites by hands, surfaces and equipment.

## Bacteraemia

The presence of bacteria in the blood.

## Body Fluids

Any substance secreted by the body with the exception of sweat.

These include:

Blood, Lochia, Saliva, Secretions from mucous membranes, Pus, Gastric and respiratory secretions, Semen, Tears, Wax, Breast milk, Colostrum, Urine, Faeces, Meconium, Vomitus, Pleural fluid, Cerebrospinal fluid, Ascites fluid, Biliary fluid, Bone Marrow, Pus, Organic body samples – e.g. Biopsy samples, organ and cell samples.

## Body Fluid Exposure Risk

Any situation where contact with body fluids may occur. Such contact may pose a contamination risk to either healthcare worker or the environment.

## Contact

The touching of any patient, their immediate surroundings or performing any procedure.





### Curtains

Patient bed curtains are outside the patient zone and are frequently contaminated with micro-organisms foreign to the patient inside (21-23)

Touching the curtains after caring for a patient is considered to be equivalent to leaving the patient zone

Hand hygiene should be performed between touching the curtains and touching the patient and vice versa.

### Decontaminate hands

Application of either an antimicrobial soap/solution and water or an alcohol-based hand rub product, to the surface of the hands. This process reduces microbial counts on hands.

### Detergent Wipes

A detergent-containing wipe used for cleaning lightly soiled shared patient equipment in between each patient use.

### Emollient / Humectant

Ingredient(s) added to hand hygiene products to moisturise and protect the skin from frequent product use.

### Glove use

Glove use by HCWs is recommended for two main reasons: to prevent microorganisms which may be infecting, commensally carried, or transiently present on healthcare worker's hands from being transferred to patients and from one patient to another; and to reduce the risk of HCWs acquiring infections from patients.

### Hand Care

Actions to reduce the risk of skin damage or irritation. For example, using a moisturiser regularly throughout the day.

### Hand Hygiene (HH)

A process that reduces the number of microorganisms on hands. Hand hygiene is a general term applying to the use of soap/solution (non-antimicrobial or antimicrobial) and water or a waterless antimicrobial agent to the surface of the hands (e.g. alcohol-based hand rub).

### Hand Hygiene Action

A Hand Hygiene Action can be undertaken either by rubbing with an alcohol-based handrub, or hand washing with soap and water.



### Hand Hygiene Compliance (HHC)

Is a measurement of appropriate hand hygiene. It is defined when hand hygiene is considered necessary and is classified according to one of the “5 Moments”.

If the action is performed when there is no indication and it has no impact in terms of preventing microbial transmission, then it is not considered to be an act of hand hygiene compliance.

The number of *Moments* constitutes the denominator for assessing hand hygiene compliance. The actual hand hygiene actions undertaken are compared to the number of *Moments* observed to calculate the rate of hand hygiene compliance.

hand hygiene non-compliance is defined when there is an indication for hand hygiene (i.e. a “*Moment*”) and yet no hand hygiene was undertaken.

### Hand Hygiene inter-observer reliability

A measure of the agreement or consistency of ratings between two or more hand hygiene observers after observing the hand hygiene compliance on a series of subjects.

### Hand Hygiene Moments

*Moments* are based on those defined by the WHO Guidelines on Hand Hygiene (1). Some minor modifications have been made for Australian healthcare conditions. A *Moment* is when there is a perceived or actual risk of pathogen transmission from one surface to another via the hands. HCWs’ hands will come in contact with many different types of surfaces while undertaking a succession of tasks.

The 5 Moments for hand hygiene are:

- Moment 1:** Before touching a patient
- Moment 2:** Before a procedure
- Moment 3:** After a procedure or body fluid exposure risk
- Moment 4:** After touching a patient
- Moment 5:** After touching a patient’s surroundings

### Hand Hygiene Opportunity

Is a WHO term. In Australia, this term is no longer commonly used; instead the term ‘Moment’ is used.

### Hand Hygiene Product

Any product used for the purpose of hand hygiene, including soap and water

### Hand washing

The application of non-antimicrobial soap and water to the surface of the hands.



### Healthcare-Associated Infections (HAI)

Infections that originate from, or are related to, a healthcare setting or the delivery of healthcare.

### Healthcare Zone

Refers to all regions outside of the Patient zone. This includes the curtains, partitions and doors between separate patient areas.

The healthcare zone can include shared patient areas as these areas are not cleaned between patients.

Assumptions are generally made that within the healthcare zone there are organisms foreign and potentially harmful to all patients, and that transmission of these pathogens to the patient results in exogenous infection.

### Healthcare Worker (HCW)

Any employee of a healthcare institution who has patient care responsibilities and / or contact with a patient, or a patient's surroundings (see Contact).

### Hospital-associated infections

An infection that was not present or incubating prior to the patient being admitted to the hospital, but occurred > 48 hours after admittance to the hospital. Hospital associated infections are also termed nosocomial infections.

### Immunocompromised

Having an immune system that has been impaired by disease or treatment

### Inter-rater (or Observer) Reliability

A measure of agreement or consistency of ratings by two or more observers on a series of subjects.

### Intra-rater Reliability

A measure of agreement or consistency of two or more ratings by a single observer on a series of subjects.

### Invasive Medical Device

Any piece of equipment that enters a patient's skin or body cavity. This encompasses the entire device (e.g. IV line, IV pump and IV pole).

### Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA)

*Staphylococcus aureus* that is resistant to methicillin/flucloxacillin. Commonly referred to as "golden staph".



### **Methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus***

*Staphylococcus aureus* that is susceptible to methicillin/flucloxacillin.

### **Occupational Health and Safety (OH&S)**

Is an area concerned with protecting the safety, health and welfare of people engaged in work or employment. The goal of all occupational safety and health programs is to foster a safe work environment.

### **Occupied Bed Days (OBDs)**

Is the sum of the number of occupied beds for each day of the specified period.

### **Outcome Measure**

A feature used to describe the effects of care on the health status of patients and populations (e.g. infection rate).

### **Patient**

Refers to any part of the patient, their clothes, or any medical device that is connected to the patient.

### **Patient contact or direct patient contact**

This involves touching the patient, and their immediate surroundings or performing any procedure on the patient.

### **Patient Immediate Surroundings**

The Patient Surroundings is the space temporarily dedicated to an individual patient for that patient's stay. This includes furniture, medical equipment, medical charts, and personal belongings that are touched by the patient and HCWs while caring for that patient.

### **Patient Zone**

Includes the Patient and the Patient Immediate Surroundings.

The patient zone is the area dedicated to an individual patient for their care. The patient zone is cleaned after one patient leaves, before the next patient arrives.

Assumptions are generally made that within the patient zone the patient flora rapidly contaminates the entire patient zone; and the patient zone is cleaned between patients.



### Point of Care

The place where three elements come together: the patient, the healthcare worker, and the care or treatment involving contact with the patient or his/her surroundings. A hand hygiene product should be easily accessible and as close as possible – within arm's reach of where patient care or treatment is taking place. Point of care products should be accessible without having to leave the patient zone.

### Procedure

Is an act of care for a patient where there is a risk of direct introduction of a pathogen into the patient's body.

### Process Measure

An index of the degree to which a service or procedure is performed correctly and appropriately, e.g. timing of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis, measuring how many times staff wash their hands.

### Recommendation

A guideline; sample suggestion; to advise.

### Reliability

The extent to which a measurement is consistent and free from error.

### SAB

*Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia

### Separations

A separation from a healthcare facility occurs anytime a patient leaves due to discharge, death, or transfer

### Standard Aseptic Technique (2)

Clinical procedures managed with Standard ANTT will characteristically be technically simple, short in duration (approximately less than 20 minutes), and involve relatively few and small key sites and key parts. Standard AT requires a main general aseptic field and non-sterile gloves. The use of critical micro aseptic fields and a non-touch technique is essential to protect key parts and key sites.

### Sterile task

A task performed in such a way as to avoid microbial contamination or inoculation.



### Structured observation

A method to quantify healthcare worker behaviour using a format that is structured in a manner that is likely to avoid bias and improve consistency. Structured observations provide information on what people actually do, rather than on what they say they do or did. They also provide information on the associated activities and behaviours that precede and follow hand hygiene compliance.

### Surgical Aseptic Technique (2)

Surgical AT is demanded when procedures are technically complex, involve extended periods of time, large open key sites or large or numerous key parts. To counter these risks, a main critical aseptic field and sterile gloves are required and often full barrier precautions. Surgical AT should still utilise critical micro aseptic fields and non-touch technique where practical to do so.

### Surgical Hand Hygiene/ surgical hand preparation

Antiseptic handwash or antiseptic handrub performed preoperatively by the surgical team to eliminate transient flora and reduce resident skin flora. Such antiseptics often have persistent antimicrobial activity.

Alcohol-based handrubs for surgical procedures are not addressed within the scope of the HHA agenda. Please refer to the WHO Guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care (1) for further information.

### Validity

Refers to the accuracy of a measure. It is the extent to which a measuring instrument actually measures what it is supposed to measure.

### WHO

The World Health Organisation



# References

1. World Health Organisation. WHO Guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care. In: World Alliance for Patient Safety, editor. First Global Patient Safety Challenge Clean Care is Safer Care. 1 ed. Geneva: World Health Organisation Press; 2009.
2. NHMRC. Australian Guidelines for the Prevention and Control of Infection in Healthcare. Commonwealth of Australia; 2010.
3. Allegranzi B, Pittet D. Role of hand hygiene in healthcare-associated infection prevention. *Journal of Hospital Infection*. 2009;73(4):305-15.
4. Grayson ML, Russo PL, Cruickshank M, Bear JL, Gee CA, Hughes CF, et al. Outcomes from the first 2 years of the Australian National Hand Hygiene Initiative. *Medical Journal of Australia*. 2011;195(10):615-9.
5. Pittet D, Boyce J. Hand hygiene and patient care: Pursuing the Semmelweis legacy. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2001;9-20.
6. Pittet D, Hugonnet S, Harbarth S, Mouroug P, Sauvan V, Touveneau S, et al. Effectiveness of a hospital-wide programme to improve compliance with hand hygiene. *Lancet*. 2000;356:1307-12.
7. Johnson P, Martin R, Burrell LJ, Grabsch EA, Kirsas SW, O'Keeffe J, et al. Efficacy of an alcohol/chlorhexidine hand hygiene program in a hospital with high rates of nosocomial methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infection. *The Medical Journal Of Australia*. 2005;183(10):509-14.
8. Grayson ML, Jarvie LJ, Martin R, Johnson PD, Jodoin ME, McMullan C, et al. Significant reductions in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia and clinical isolates associated with a multisite, hand hygiene culture-change program and subsequent successful statewide roll-out. *Med J Aust*. 2008;188(11):633-40.
9. Won S-P, Chou H-C, Hsieh W-S, Chen C-Y, Huang S-M, Tsou K-I, et al. Handwashing program for the prevention of nosocomial infections in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Infection Control And Hospital Epidemiology: The Official Journal Of The Society Of Hospital Epidemiologists Of America*. 2004;25(9):742-6.
10. Boyce JM, Pittet D. Guideline for Hand Hygiene in Health-Care Settings: recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2002;23(12 Suppl):S3-40.
11. Australian Commission for Safety and Quality in Health Care. Assessment to the NSQHS Standards 2016 [updated 13 December 2016; cited 2017 20-June]. Available from: <https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/our-work/assessment-to-the-nsqhs-standards/>.
12. Pittet D, Allegranzi B, Sax H, Dharan S, Pessoa-Silva CL, Donaldson L, et al. Evidence-based model for hand transmission during patient care and the role of





improved practices. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2006;6(10):641-52.

13. Whitby M, McLaws M-L, Ross MW. Why healthcare workers don't wash their hands: a behavioral explanation. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*. 2006;27(5):484-92.
14. Erasmus V, Brouwer W, van Beeck EF, Oenema A, Daha TJ, Richardus JH, et al. A qualitative exploration of reasons for poor hand hygiene among hospital workers: lack of positive role models and of convincing evidence that hand hygiene prevents cross-infection. *Infection Control And Hospital Epidemiology: The Official Journal Of The Society Of Hospital Epidemiologists Of America*. 2009;30(5):415-9.
15. Fagernes M, Lingaas E. Impact of finger rings on transmission of bacteria during hand contact. *Infection Control And Hospital Epidemiology: The Official Journal Of The Society Of Hospital Epidemiologists Of America*. 2009;30(5):427-32.
16. Grayson ML. The treatment triangle for staphylococcal infections. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;355(7):724-7.
17. Pittet D, Boyce JM. Revolutionising hand hygiene in health-care settings: guidelines revisited. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2003;3(5):269-70.
18. Australian Commission for Safety and Quality in Health Care. Implementation Guide for Surveillance of *Staphylococcus aureus* Bacteraemia (Consultation Edition) Sydney: Australian Commission for Safety and Quality in Health Care; 2012 [cited 2012 31st October]. Available from: <http://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/wp-content/uploads/2012/02/Implementation-guide-SAB-Consultation-Edition-November-2011.pdf>.
19. World Health Organisation. WHO Hand Hygiene Self-Assessment Framework 2010 [cited 2017 30th June]. Available from: [http://www.who.int/gpsc/5may/hhsa\\_framework/en/](http://www.who.int/gpsc/5may/hhsa_framework/en/).
20. World Health Organization. Hand Hygiene in Outpatient and Home-based Care and Long-term Care Facilities: A Guide to the Application of the WHO Multimodal Hand Hygiene Improvement Strategy and the "My Five Moments for Hand Hygiene" Approach. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012.
21. Klakus J, Vaughan NL, Boswell TC. Meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* contamination of hospital curtains. *Journal of Hospital Infection*. 2008;68(2):189-90.
22. Ohl M, Schweizer M, Graham M, Heilman K, Boyken L, Perencevich E, et al. Hospital Privacy Curtains are Frequently Contaminated with Potentially Pathogenic Bacteria. ICAAC; Geneva 2011.
23. Trillis F, 3rd, Eckstein EC, Budavich R, Pultz MJ, Donskey CJ. Contamination of hospital curtains with healthcare-associated pathogens. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*. 2008;29(11):1074-6.
24. Bush K, Mah MW, Meyers G, Armstrong P, Stoesz J, Strople S. Going Dotty: a practical guide for installing new hand hygiene products. *American Journal Of Infection Control*. 2007;35(10):690-3.



25. Larson EL, Eke PI, Wilder MP, Laughon BE. Quantity of soap as a variable in handwashing. *Infection Control: IC*. 1987;8(9):371-5.
26. Huber MA, Holton RH, Terezhalmay GT. Cost analysis of hand hygiene using antimicrobial soap and water versus an alcohol-based hand rub. *The Journal Of Contemporary Dental Practice*. 2006;7(2):37-45.
27. Boyce JM. Antiseptic technology: access, affordability, and acceptance. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2001;7(2):231-3.
28. Kimmitt PT, Redway, K.F.,. Evaluation of the potential for virus dispersal during hand drying: a comparison of three methods  
*Journal of Applied Microbiology*  
2016;120(2):1365-2672.
29. Australasian Health Infrastructure Alliance (AHIA). Australasian Health Facility Guidelines Resource 2016 [cited 2017 20 June]. Available from: <https://healthfacilityguidelines.com.au/>.
30. O'Grady NP, Alexander M, Burns LA, Dellinger EP, Garland J, Heard SO, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. *American Journal Of Infection Control*. 2011;39(4 Suppl 1):S1-34.
31. Kampf G, Kramer A. Epidemiologic background of hand hygiene and evaluation of the most important agents for scrubs and rubs. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2004;17(4):863-93, table of contents.
32. Rotter M. Hand Washing and Hand Disinfection. In: Mayall C, editor. *Hospital Epidemiology and Infection Control*. 2nd edition. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 1999. p. 1339-55.
33. Nguyen KV, Nguyen PTM, Jones SL. Effectiveness of an alcohol-based hand hygiene programme in reducing nosocomial infections in the Urology Ward of Binh Dan Hospital, Vietnam. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2008;13(10):1297-302.
34. Council of Europe - European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines. *European Pharmacopoeia*. 6th ed 2007 July 2007.
35. Kampf G. How effective are hand antiseptics for the postcontamination treatment of hands when used as recommended? *Am J Infect Control*. 2008;36(5):356-60.
36. Brown TL, Gamon S, Tester P, Martin R, Hosking K, Bowkett GC, et al. Can alcohol-based hand-rub solutions cause you to lose your driver's license? Comparative cutaneous absorption of various alcohols. *Antimicrobial Agents & Chemotherapy*. 2007;51(3):1107-8.
37. Kramer A, Below H, Bieber N, Kampf G, Toma CD, Huebner N-O, et al. Quantity of ethanol absorption after excessive hand disinfection using three commercially available hand rubs is minimal and below toxic levels for humans. *BMC Infectious Diseases*. 2007;7:117.
38. Allegranzi B, Memish ZA, Donaldson L, Pittet D. Religion and culture: potential undercurrents influencing hand hygiene promotion in health care. *Am J*



Infect Control. 2009;37(1):28-34.

39. Kramer A, Rudolph P, Kampf G, Pittet D. Limited efficacy of alcohol-based hand gels. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9316):1489-90.
40. Barbut F, Maury E, Goldwirt L, Boëlle P-Y, Neyme D, Aman R, et al. Comparison of the antibacterial efficacy and acceptability of an alcohol-based hand rinse with two alcohol-based hand gels during routine patient care. *The Journal Of Hospital Infection*. 2007;66(2):167-73.
41. Stuart RL, Marshall C, McLaws M, Boardman C, Russo PL, Harrington G, et al. ASID/AICA Position Statement: Infection Control Guidelines for Patients with *Clostridium difficile* Infection in Healthcare Settings. 2010.
42. Trubiano JA, Cheng AC, Korman TM, Roder C, Campbell A, May ML, et al. Australasian Society of Infectious Diseases updated guidelines for the management of *Clostridium difficile* infection in adults and children in Australia and New Zealand. *Intern Med J*. 2016;46(4):479-93.
43. Ansari SA, Sattar SA, Springthorpe VS, Wells GA, Tostowaryk W. In vivo protocol for testing efficacy of hand-washing agents against viruses and bacteria: experiments with rotavirus and *Escherichia coli*. *Applied And Environmental Microbiology*. 1989;55(12):3113-8.
44. Sattar SA, Abebe M, Bueti AJ, Jampani H, Newman J, Hua S. Activity of an alcohol-based hand gel against human adeno-, rhino-, and rotaviruses using the fingerpad method. *Infection Control And Hospital Epidemiology: The Official Journal Of The Society Of Hospital Epidemiologists Of America*. 2000;21(8):516-9.
45. National Health Service. Available from: <http://www.npsa.nhs.uk/cleanyourhands/the-campaign/training-video/additional-resources/>.
46. Olsen RJ, Lynch P, Coyle MB, Cummings J, Bokete T, Stamm WE. Examination gloves as barriers to hand contamination in clinical practice. *Jama*. 1993;270(3):350-3.
47. Tenorio AR, Badri SM, Sahgal NB, Hota B, Matushek M, Hayden MK, et al. Effectiveness of gloves in the prevention of hand carriage of vancomycin-resistant enterococcus species by health care workers after patient care. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2001;32(5):826-9.
48. Duckro AN, Blom DW, Lyle EA, Weinstein RA, Hayden MK. Transfer of vancomycin-resistant enterococci via health care worker hands. *Arch Intern Med*. 2005;165(3):302-7.
49. Hayden MK, Blom DW, Lyle EA, Moore CG, Weinstein RA. Risk of hand or glove contamination after contact with patients colonized with vancomycin-resistant enterococcus or the colonized patients' environment. *Infection Control And Hospital Epidemiology: The Official Journal Of The Society Of Hospital Epidemiologists Of America*. 2008;29(2):149-54.
50. Pratt RJ, Pellowe C, Loveday HP, Robinson N, Smith GW, Barrett S, et al. The epic project: developing national evidence-based guidelines for preventing



healthcare associated infections. Phase I: Guidelines for preventing hospital-acquired infections. Department of Health (England). Journal of Hospital Infection. 2001;47 Suppl:S3-82.

51. Siegel JD, Rhinehart E, Jackson M, Chiarello L, Health Care Infection Control Practices Advisory C. 2007 Guideline for Isolation Precautions: Preventing Transmission of Infectious Agents in Health Care Settings. American Journal Of Infection Control. 2007;35(10 Suppl 2):S65-164.

52. World Alliance for Patient Safety. Manual for observers: Annex 17 WHO Multimodal hand hygiene improvement strategy: World Health Organisation; 2007.

53. Traore O, Hugonnet S, Lübke J, Griffiths W, Pittet D. Liquid versus gel handrub formulation: a prospective intervention study. Critical Care (London, England). 2007;11(3):R52-R.

54. Birnbach DJ, Nevo I, Scheinman SR, Fitzpatrick M, Shekhter I, Lombard JL. Patient safety begins with proper planning: a quantitative method to improve hospital design. Quality and Safety in Health Care. 2010; 19 462-5.

55. Health Q. Queensland Health Policy for Hand Hygiene: Clean Hands are Life Savers 2008. Available from:  
[http://www.health.qld.gov.au/chrisp/hand\\_hygiene/policy\\_template.DOC](http://www.health.qld.gov.au/chrisp/hand_hygiene/policy_template.DOC).

56. Hand Hygiene Resource Centre. Revised Fire Hazard Rulings New Haven2007 [updated 02/06/2007; cited 2008 August 19]. Available from:  
[http://www.handhygiene.org/Fire\\_Hazard\\_Issues.asp](http://www.handhygiene.org/Fire_Hazard_Issues.asp).

57. Department of Health and Human Services, Medicare and Medicaid Program. Fire Safety requirements for certain health care facilities; amendment. Federal Register. 2005;70(57):15229-39.

58. Woodin D, Leaver C. Business Briefing: Hospital Engineering and Facilities Management. London: 2005.

59. Alcohol Based Hand Rubs. Available from:  
<http://www.healthunit.com/article.aspx?id=12684>.

60. Roberts HS, Self RJ, Coxon M. An unusual complication of hand hygiene. Anaesthesia. 2005;60(1):100-1.

61. Miller M, Borys D, Morgan D. Alcohol-based hand sanitizers and unintended pediatric exposures: a retrospective review. Clinical Pediatrics. 2009;48(4):429-31.

62. Mrvos R, Krenzelok EP. Pediatric Ingestions of Hand Sanitizers: Debunking the Myth. Pediatric Emergency Care. 2009;25(10):665-6  
10.1097/PEC.0b013e3181bec7e1.

63. Kinnula S, Tapiainen T, Renko M, Uhari M. Safety of alcohol hand gel use among children and personnel at a child day care center. American Journal Of Infection Control. 2009;37(4):318-21.

64. Larson E, Girard R, Pessoa-Silva CL, Boyce J, Donaldson L, Pittet D. Skin reactions related to hand hygiene and selection of hand hygiene products. Am J



Infect Control. 2006;34(10):627-35.

65. Bissett L. Skin care: an essential component of hand hygiene and infection control. *Br J Nurs*. 2007;16(16):976-81.

66. Tupker R. Detergents and Cleansers. In: PGM Vander Valk HM, editor. *The Irritant Contact Dermatitis Syndrome*. New York: CRC Press; 1996.

67. Graham M, Nixon R, Burrell LJ, Bolger C, Johnson PD, Grayson ML. Low rates of cutaneous adverse reactions to alcohol-based hand hygiene solution during prolonged use in a large teaching hospital. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2005;49(10):4404-5.

68. Kampf G, Wigger-Alberti W, Schoder V, Wilhelm K-P. Emollients in a propanol-based hand rub can significantly decrease irritant contact dermatitis. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2005;53(6):344-9.

69. McCormick RD, Buchman TL, Maki DG. Double-blind, randomized trial of scheduled use of a novel barrier cream and an oil-containing lotion for protecting the hands of health care workers. *American Journal Of Infection Control*. 2000;28(4):302-10.

70. Löffler H, Kampf G. Hand disinfection: how irritant are alcohols? *The Journal Of Hospital Infection*. 2008;70 Suppl 1:44-8.

71. Kampf G, Löffler H. Dermatological aspects of a successful introduction and continuation of alcohol-based hand rubs for hygienic hand disinfection. *Journal of Hospital Infection*. 2003;55(1):1-7.

72. Chamorey E, Marcy P-Y, Dandine M, Veyres P, Negrin N, Vandenbos F, et al. A prospective multicenter study evaluating skin tolerance to standard hand hygiene techniques. *American Journal Of Infection Control*. 2011;39(1):6-13.

73. Boyce JM, Pearson ML. Low frequency of fires from alcohol-based hand rub dispensers in healthcare facilities. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2003;24(8):618-9.

74. Kramer A, Kampf G. Hand rub-associated fire incidents during 25,038 hospital-years in Germany. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2007;28(6):745-6.

75. Batty LM, Brischetto AJ, Kevat AC, Oldmeadow MJ. Consumption of alcohol-based hand sanitisers by hospital inpatients. *Medical Journal of Australia*. 2011;194(12):664.

76. Pittet D, Donaldson L. Challenging the world: patient safety and health care-associated infection. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2006;18(1):4-8.

77. Mathai E, Allegranzi B, Seto WH, Chraiti MN, Sax H, Larson E, et al. Educating healthcare workers to optimal hand hygiene practices: addressing the need. *Infection*. 2010;38(5):349-56.

78. Martin R, Burrell L, Johnson P, Barr W, Mayall B, Grabsch E. The answer's on-line, not on paper. Poster Presentation Austin Health Research Week2002.

79. World Health Organisation. *Guide to Implementation: A guide to the*





implementation of the WHO Multimodal Hand Hygiene Improvement Strategy. In: Safety WafP, editor. Geneva: World Health Organisation Publisher; 2009.

80. Hedderwick SA, McNeil SA, Lyons MJ, Kauffman CA. Pathogenic organisms associated with artificial fingernails worn by healthcare workers. *Infection Control And Hospital Epidemiology: The Official Journal Of The Society Of Hospital Epidemiologists Of America*. 2000;21(8):505-9.

81. Giannitsioti E, Athanasia S, Antoniadou A, Fytrou H, Athanassiou K, Bourvani P, et al. Does a bed rail system of alcohol-based handrub antiseptic improve compliance of health care workers with hand hygiene? Results from a pilot study. *American Journal Of Infection Control*. 2009;37(2):160-3.

82. Brown T BL, Edmonds D, Martin R, O'Keeffe J, Johnson P, Grayson ML. Hand hygiene: a standardized tool for assessing compliance. *Australian Infection Control*. 2005;10(2):51-8.

83. Roethlisberger F, Dickson W. Management and the worker: an account of a research program conducted by the Western Electric Company. Cambridge: Harvard University Press; 1964.

84. Kohli E, Ptak J, Smith R, Taylor E, Talbot EA, Kirkland KB. Variability in the Hawthorne effect with regard to hand hygiene performance in high- and low-performing inpatient care units. *Infection Control And Hospital Epidemiology: The Official Journal Of The Society Of Hospital Epidemiologists Of America*. 2009;30(3):222-5.

85. Bentley M, Boot M, Gittelsohn J, Stallings R. The use of structured observations in the study of health behaviour. In: The Hague NLSHaTM, editor. London: IRC International Water and Sanitation Centre; 1994.



# Appendices

1. [Hand hygiene compliance assessment form](#)
2. [Hand hygiene compliance Form Coding Sheet](#)
3. [Sample of a Completed hand hygiene compliance assessment form](#)
4. [HHCApp Instructions for Use](#)
5. [HHA OH&S Risk Assessment](#)
6. [Skin Care Questionnaire](#)
7. [Ward / Department Product Auditing Form](#)
8. [5 Moments for Hand Hygiene Auditor Training](#)
9. [Auditor Training Flowchart](#)
10. [Hand hygiene Ward Summary Sheet](#)

